PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

) Docket Nos. 11-097-01 MARTELL, KIMBALL, CUMBERLAND, ZINI, 11-097-02 11-097-03 SMITH, et al.,) Complainant, vs. HEARING RE MOUNTAIN SEWER INTERIM RATE CORPORATION, INCREASE Respondent.)

* * *

May 21, 2012

9:12 a.m.

Public Service Commission 160 East 300 South, Fourth Floor Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

* * *

Letitia L. Meredith
-Registered Professional ReporterCertified Shorthand Reporter

PROCEEDINGS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

HEARING OFFICER: On the record, this is the time and place for a hearing duly noticed in Consolidated Docket Nos. 11-097-01, -02, and -03, and in particular the focus of today's hearing is the application for interim rate increase filed in Docket No. 11-097-03, and the caption for that docket is In the Matter of the Application of Mountain Sewer Corporation for a General Rate Increase.

My name is David Clark. I've been designated as the presiding officer for this hearing this morning, and we'll begin by taking appearances of counsel. Let's begin with the Applicant, Mr. Smith.

Thank you. Mr. Clark, my name is MR. C. SMITH: Craig Smith. I'm here on behalf of the Applicant. Seated next to me is Mr. Ray Bowden. He is a principle of the applicant. Seated next to him is Mr. Mel Smith who is co-counsel. You know, I know I'm as good looking as Mel but we're not brothers, but we'll try to keep that straight. And then sitting next to him is Rick Rathbun who is with my Mel is with Smith Knowles firm in Ogden, so we're different firms even though we have the same last name. That's who's here for the Applicant.

1	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
2	MS. SCHMID: Good morning. Patricia E. Schmid
3	with the Attorney General's Office for the Division
4	of Public Utilities, and with me also from the
5	Attorney General's Office is Wes Felix. Our
6	witnesses will be Mr. Mark Long employed by the
7	Division. Thank you.
8	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Mr. Zini.
9	MR. ZINI: My name is Larry Zini. I'm one of
10	the Complainants in the three dockets, and we're here
11	representing the view of the complainants on this
12	issue.
13	HEARING OFFICER: And Mr. Cumberland is not here
14	today?
15	MR. ZINI: His wife is undergoing cancer
16	treatments and he has to take her in for these
17	treatments and he could not make it today.
18	HEARING OFFICER: And is their counsel for
19	Celtic Bank?
2 0	MS. RINALDI: Yes. Sitting back here,
21	Leslie Rinaldi for Celtic Bank.
22	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Anyone else who
23	intends to enter an appearance? All right. Thank
2 4	you. Let's be off the record.
25	(off the record)
	3

1 On the record please, we're HEARING OFFICER: 2 going to begin this morning with statements of 3 counsel regarding the Application for Interim Rate 4 increase. Mr. Smith, you'll go first and then 5 Ms. Schmid, and then any other parties that would 6 like to address the application. Mr. Smith. 7 MR. C. SMITH: Thank you. I'll try to be brief 8 on this, but I do want to give a little bit of a 9 historical context to set the stage for the testimony 10 of evidence we'll be entering today in support of the 11 interim rate increase that we're asking for. 12 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Smith, is your mike on? 13 MR. C. SMITH: It is now. 14 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 15 MR. C. SMITH: Sorry about that. I thought it 16 was on before, but obviously it wasn't, so that 17 should be a little better. 18 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 19 MR. C. SMITH: Mountain Sewer was established 20 back in the 1980s. It's had the same rate since 21 1986, 1987. We have currently 127 customers and 55 22 who pay standby fees. Frankly and simply put, we 2.3 have insufficient revenues to operate the system. Wе 2.4 have no reserves that replace or repair things. 25 of maintenance and improvement caused a number of 4

customers including some of the same folks that are here today to file a formal Complaint to the commission in 2011. In July of 2011 Mr. Ray Bowden, who is sitting next to me, took control through Valley Utility, LLC, ownership of Mountain Sewer.

2.3

2.4

It was through -- he had been a lender. So people understand his coming onto the scene and what he's doing. He had been a lender to the previous owner, had loaned money to the previous owner.

Previous owner was unable to repay those loans, and so took basically a deed in lieu of foreclosure or took the company to pay for those loans.

Mr. Bowden immediately took steps to obtain credit for the company so they could undertake immediate repairs and improvements to address those very concerns and complaints that some of the customers had. We've also, as you know, filed for this rate increase. And today we're here to present evidence to obtain our interim rate increase, and while we are obviously we have a proceeding -- several other things are proceeding. We will not address those things today.

We're not obviously going to do more than that. We're going to provide evidence that shows that we have made a prima facie showing that the

interim rate increase as requested in the amount requested is justified, and that's pursuant to Utah Code Annotated 547124A, three little i's, is the section I'm quoting from there.

2.4

Most of the evidence we are presenting is already in our application, but we're going to explain a few things, and Mr. Ray Bowden will be our witness. That's the only witness we anticipate calling today unless something comes up that we're not aware of. Simply put, we have an annual revenue requirement of \$103,643 for annually. 71,566 is put in our schedules as needed for operations. 4,385 for taxes. 26,766 for capital reserves. And we have a whopping \$926 as a return on investment on that or return on rates.

We are asking for the same amount both in our interim and permanent rate proceeding. We're asking for a fixed system fee of \$12.14 per month per -- this would be both for connected and standby lots. There's a capital reserve fee of \$12.26 per month and a usage fee of \$32.67 per month. That would make the totals for people with the standby -- let me make sure we all understand what the categories are.

Standby are those within our territory that

have a lot but are not connected to our system.

Those are people that have not yet built their home and have not asked for a connection and have no connection, so we charge them the standby fee.

2.4

The usage fee is paid by those who are customers who are getting monthly service or regular service from the company, and the total -- the money that will be raised when this rate increases will be \$86,959 a year from the connected user customers and \$16,104 per year for the standby.

Now, we have projected a little bit of growth, but we do not believe we can either do -it's very difficult to predict much growth when we've had very little growth in the last few years as far as additional customers. We're always hopeful that more people will connect. Obviously economies of scale that will kick as we get more connected customers, but we have no way of controlling or requiring people to connect to the system until they build their house and get a building permit, so that's the situation we have.

We are appreciative of the Division's favorable recommendation. We realize that this is a large increase, but we have to also hope that people realize that it's been 25 years since there's been

any increase. I can't think of any utility or really anything else that has not had an increase in 25 years. Obviously, from what Mr. Bowden encountered when he became the owner, is there was a lot of deferred maintenance. The prior owner for, I guess, reasons known to the prior owner had not sought a rate increase for 25 years, and so we've had to play a little bit of catch up and do some pretty major improvements. We'll talk about those a little bit too.

2.3

2.4

We will not today try to address things such as special assessment. That's not before the Commission today or our permanent rate application. Again, that's for another day. Or another what we consider to be extraneous issues such as whether the company was well managed in the past and things like that. We believe those are not properly before the Commission today and ask that the Commission — we'll ask as we go along the Commission address only those things or we only address those things that are before the Commission today which is our interim rate increase request.

And as I said before, our first and likely only witness is Mr. Ray Bowden who has been operating the system since he took ownership through his

company in the middle of 19- -- I'm sorry -- 2011.

Thank you. That's our opening statement.

HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Schmid.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

MS. SCHMID: Good morning. We're here today in response to the interim rate request filed by Mountain Sewer. As Mr. Smith mentioned, that is only thing before us today. Interim rate recovery is permitted by statute, Section 54-7-12 Subsection 4, Subsection A. This provides some guidance as well as cases which have construed the statute. Importantly 4A Romanette 3 states -- and I'll quote -- "The evidence presented in the hearing held pursuant to the Subsection 4 need not encompass all issues that may be considered in a rate case hearing held pursuant to Subsection 2D but shall establish an adequate prima facie showing that the interim rate increase or decrease is justified."

In 1985 prior to that statute the

Commission had the opportunity to investigate whether

or not an interim rate increase was warranted. In

that case the Commission used a financial harm

standard among other things. Later in 1990 in a 1990

docket, U.S. West Communications Docket No. 9004906,

the Commission expanded on interim rates. And I

quote.

"The amended statute makes clear that the rights of the parties are somewhat restricted in an interim proceeding and an abbreviated hearing is contemplated." Skipping down, "The statute only requires an adequate prima facie showing that an interim rate increase or decrease is justified."

2.3

2.4

The Commission cautioned that it cannot permit an interim rate case to become a mini rate case because -- and I quote once more -- "to do so would be to prejudge the final outcome of the docket on the basis of incomplete and in fact one-sided information."

Also the Commission stated that because of the effect of an interim rate increase, an interim rate increase must be conservative and must not set a floor for the final rate case outcome. Third, an interim rate increase that is granted must be spread to classes of service and rate elements on a basis that does not upset existing relationships. As to whether or not the imposition of rates to standby customers who have not so far had to pay rates is affected by this third criteria I leave open at this moment.

Finally, the Commission said that the criteria is important. Serious financial harm is a

proper standard for interim rate relief. In fact, the Commission stated "as we conclude herein, record evidence that financial harm is likely in the absence of an interim rate increase is the proper basis for our decision." With that, I look forward to the hearing proceeding.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Schmid. Any

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Schmid. Any other party desire to make a statement before?

MR. ZINI: Yes, I would --

2.4

HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Zini.

MR. ZINI: -- Mr. Clark. Yes, we are -- to start with, we're not opposed to the idea of a rate increase. I think we've made that clear in previous meetings. We think it has been a long time, and our feedback from the customers that we represent indicated they are not opposed to a rate increase. It's just that 159 percent increase is pretty stiff as we see it. We feel it's not a reasonable increase particularly when we get into the numbers.

And there's three areas that we wish to discuss on this thing. One of them is the inclusion of the expenses for the special assessment in the proforma, the latest pro forma, 5/10 of 12, even though you had made it quite clear on the 10th of May that date that that was not to be part of the rate case --

of the interim rate case. Yet those fees are -- the deductions for those expenses are left into the proforma in the calculations.

2.3

2.4

The second issue is standby fees. We have a problem with this calculation on the standby fees because of the new tariff that I believe is supposed to take effect as of today, 5/21 of 12. Am I incorrect on that? We are under the impression the tariffs were filed and would take effect today.

HEARING OFFICER: If interim rate -- if the application is granted, it would be granted today, and it could be granted either in total or in part.

MR. ZINI: Right. The reference to standby fees is in conflict with the new tariff. We feel is in conflict with the new tariff, that are suggesting for standby fees because it is a brand-new charge. The Mountain Sewer Customers never paid a standby fee for sewer fees before. And the third thing is there appears to be -- I am ready to be corrected if I'm wrong -- we thoroughly examined the rate case, and we could not find any reference to the status of the existing lots in the Mountain Sewer service area.

There's a provision in there for the new lots to come on board, that they would pay the new connection fees prior to obtaining plat approval, but

1 there's no reference to what happens to those 2 existing lots under the new tariff. 3 HEARING OFFICER: Those are lots that have not 4 been sold or have been sold? 5 MR. ZINI: Well, they could be sold. They are scattered around the area. We have some in our own 6 7 development that we know have not paid the standby 8 fees, and therefore we feel the idea that they would 9 be able to not pay the standby fees effective with 10 the new tariff is preferential treatment. 11 MR. C. SMITH: If I could interpret, I hate to 12 interpret Mr. Zini, but I will. I'm not aware of any 13 preferences. 14 HEARING OFFICER: Are you comfortable with 15 Mr. Smith addressing this? 16 MR. ZINI: Sure. I'm willing to learn here. 17 HEARING OFFICER: Go ahead, Mr. Smith. 18 MR. C. SMITH: Every plotted lot -- I'm not 19 aware of any preferences. Everybody pays -- again, 20 as I see it, there are several classes. Obviously if 21 you're connected you pay the usage and connection fee 22 to get connected. If it's a platted lot, it's the 23 standby fee that is paid. When I say lot, it's a 2.4 recorded lot in a subdivision. They pay the standby 25 We obviously can't make them pay a connection 13

1 fee until they connect, and then when people come and 2 connect they pay a connection fee. I'm not aware of 3 any lots that are exempt from paying standby fees 4 that are platted, recorded lots. 5 Now, there may be lots in some sort of process of entitlement approval, but until they are 6 7 actually a recorded lot, they are not a lot of 8 record, and we have no way of charging people that 9 own parcels of land that aren't lots of anything. 10 They haven't installed the infrastructure. We don't 11 have a line. We don't have any way to do those. 12 So I'm not exactly sure -- I'm happy to 13 have Mr. Zini explain what lots he's talking about, 14 but as far as I know, we're charging everyone now. 15 Obviously, if somebody owns a lot in a subdivision, 16 we have little -- obviously, we can require them to make payment, but we're not providing any service so 17 18 we can't cut off service for nonpayment if they are 19 just paying the standby fee because they are not 20 connected. 21 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Zini, do you have --22 Well, yes, my --MR. ZINI: 2.3 HEARING OFFICER: -- response. 2.4 -- answer to that is in our own MR. ZINI: 25 subdivision we're aware of three lots that have been

1	there for a long time. They have been platted, but
2	they are not connected to anything, and they are
3	they have not paid the connection fees. All right.
4	What that sets up is, if you have someone say, for
5	instance, Celtic Bank comes in and they say, "Now,
6	you're going to have to pay the connection fee up
7	front before you do anything else, before you even
8	get your lot plotted, that's the new tariff"
9	MR. C. SMITH: That's not what I understand the
10	new tariff to say.
11	HEARING OFFICER: Did you complete your thought,
12	Mr. Zini?
13	MR. ZINI: No, I didn't. That creates a
14	situation that I believe is covered under 54.3.8 and
15	54.3.7, which is preferential treatments or varying
16	the rates for different customers for the same cost
17	which is the connection fee. Did I confuse you by
18	saying that?
19	HEARING OFFICER: I understand your point.
20	Mr. Smith, and your response?
21	MR. C. SMITH: Our response is our tariff
22	applies to all equally within our service area.
23	There's no one that a free pass of not paying.
24	Everybody pays connection fees when they connect. I
25	think that's standard practice with every utility
	15

I've represented and been involved with. You really can't make people prepay their connection fees until they connect.

MR. ZINI: With the exception of Celtic Bank. They are going to be required to pay those connection fees before they get plat approval. It says that in the tariff. Anybody that seeks plat approval must pay those connection fees first. That, in our mind, creates a preferential treatment to the advantage of someone and to the disadvantage of someone else as it reads in the statute book, and that's what it says.

HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Zini, have you completed what you wanted to present?

MR. ZINI: Yes, thank you.

2.4

MS. RINALDI: And we're unclear on that. We would like a clarification as to how that would work. We have four lots currently plotted, record in Phase 1. 164 lots in Edge Water Beach Resort that are yet set up in a PRUD. They are not recorded yet, and no connection basically sitting there as far as I'm aware.

So we'd like to know what is the status first of what we would be required to pay as of today and, second, capacity questions. We don't see that the -- I'm assuming that these fees are also used to

expand capacity to ultimately take the remainder of our PRUD online, eventually someday. Those are just a number of questions we've got. I'm not sure they are pertinent to the interim rate increase today, but we do have questions how those fees are going to apply absolutely.

HEARING OFFICER: Before you sit down,

Ms. Rinaldi, would you mind describing -- step back

and kind of describe Edge Water Beach Resort's

relationship to Mountain Sewer, when that

relationship was established. We've had a number of

status conferences and other meetings of these

parties that have occurred off the record, but I

don't recall having an awareness or having your

entity discussed in any of those.

MS. RINALDI: Correct.

2.3

2.4

HEARING OFFICER: So I'd like to have a broader understanding of your client's relationship to the utility and when that developed.

MS. RINALDI: Sure. Celtic Bank foreclosed upon and took basically repossessed the Edge Water Beach Resort in -- I believe it was 2010, maybe 2009. It is a 168 unit condominium complex there in Weber County. It has an approved PRUD, Phase 1 of which is four condos which have been recorded -- which have

been platted, recorded, and getting ready to be sold -- sold.

2.4

We had quite a bit of roundabout as to will serve letters and that prior to recording, but we have a letter dated July 18th, 20'6 from Mr. Catanzaro approving six sewer and six water line hookups for the property so far for Edge Water Beach. We have 164 pending condominium units or approval to build those eventually. Those have not been — to my knowledge, I don't believe any of those have been platted or recorded yet. I think it's just an approved subdivision, and that's where it sits.

To the best of my knowledge, we have no infrastructure. I don't believe the infrastructure was built out to the 164, and we do have sort of what passed for a receipt back in the day for connection fees for those units. So it's my understanding -- I guess, my clients we're trying to get an understanding what we would be liable to be paying as of today which I would be paying, I guess, for four connected properties, and then I don't know -- we're left with the 164, and we have questions about future capacity. I'm not sure if that's responsive to your question.

HEARING OFFICER: That's very helpful. What was

1	the amount of the connection fee that was paid? Was
2	that in 2006 as well?
3	MS. RINALDI: Correct. Well, as far as we can
4	see, it was \$4,704.88. We believe that is
5	HEARING OFFICER: And that would have applied to
6	the four?
7	MS. RINALDI: I believe that is correct. That's
8	what we see. That's all the records that we have.
9	So I'm not sure I am not sure. That could be
10	completely incorrect, but we do have a letter that
11	states that we have six sewer and six water hookups.
12	MR. ZINI: What was the amount, Leslie?
13	MS. RINALDI: Well, as far as I can tell, it's
14	\$4,704.88, but I am not sure if that is but I
15	cannot tell you with precision because of the way
16	this is written as to what that covers or if that
17	applies to this. So that's so honestly I really
18	can't tell you. I don't know.
19	MR. ZINI: I would submit, if it's okay, that
20	the connect fee for each lot is \$3,000 for sewer at
21	that time, so I don't think that that \$4,004
22	constitutes, in my opinion
23	MS. RINALDI: I don't know.
24	MR. ZINI: that those are for hookup fees or
25	connection fees.
	19

MS. RINALDI: I don't know. I couldn't tell you, and apparently we don't have records from, Mr. Catanzaro.

HEARING OFFICER: Yeah.

2.4

MR. M. SMITH: Mr. Clark, I do have information that might be helpful. Those four condos that Leslie is speaking about were built under the ownership of Ron Catanzaro. They had a loan with Celtic, and those fees were paid during Ron's ownership. So there wouldn't be anything on Celtic's records that would indicate how much was paid for the four units at least.

There has been some discussion -- this takes a little bit of history, but we were counted a the body politic, and the Complainants before they filed with PSC actually filed a Complaint with Weber County, and there was a series of meetings with Weber County. And it was during this time that there was the foreclosure by Celtic Bank, and Celtic had acquired some potential purchasers. There was actually some litigation over the acquisition of this property, others that were trying to buy the property before, during, and after the foreclosure.

So there was some discussions with Weber County as to what it would take to get a will serve

letter. There's been some efforts to get entitlements. Now, Leslie was correct: There's over 160 units that have been approved, but the last that we saw -- we've worked with Brandi Hammon who is the real estate agent for Celtic, and she's indicated to me and we have some plans showing that they are not going to build 160-plus units. They wanted on the water front to actually have some residential homes, and they are looking more like a density of 60.

2.3

2.4

board, the sewer doesn't have capacity, so there's been some discussion with the county in the entitlement process. Depending on the number of connects, we'll have to decide when those fees are paid, and because there's no capacity. And the sewer can't just create capacity. It needs money. So the county has indicated there might be a requirement for an agreement with Mountain Sewer in the entitlement process to pay those connection fees up front, but this is very, very preliminary.

And so there's not any indication or any desire of Mountain Sewer to have different rate systems or different payment schedules. It's just a practical solution to a situation where there's not a capacity. And so there might be a contract where the

1 developer will volunteer to pay those connection fees 2 up front, but it's not going to be a requirement from 3 the rate case today or the decision from this body is 4 going to be a matter of contract to get the 5 infrastructure in place for a project that is just 6 again very, very preliminary. 7 We went to the point where they -- Celtic 8 has laid out preliminarily what they would like to 9 I don't think there's even been a formal filing 10 with the county, but that came to us. We've actually 11 had the engineer for Mountain Sewer review those 12 plans and Celtic then would be paying the engineer to 13 come with what would be necessary in order to provide 14 the capacity, and that hasn't happened, so it's kind 15 of a standstill as far as we know. 16 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Ms. Rinaldi? 17 MS. RINALDI: Yes. My client just got here. Ι 18 have a little update. We do, in fact -- Celtic Bank 19 has in fact reduced the density, has made application 20 for reduction in density to 55 lots. 21 REESE HOWELL: It's 55 plus the four, so total 22 of 59. 2.3 MS. RINALDI: 59 with final Phase 1 approval

REESE HOWELL: Preliminary approval has been

2.4

25

expected in June.

1 given, and we're looking for final approval for 2 Phase 1 in the next 30 to 60 days. Phase 1 is only 3 nine --4 MS. RINALDI: Right. 5 HEARING OFFICER: Would you please identify 6 yourself. 7 I'm sorry. REESE HOWELL: I'm Reese Howell. 8 I'm CEO of Celtic Bank. 9 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Howell. 10 Ms. Rinaldi, just another question or two 11 If I understood your statements correctly, you, at least at this point, have objections to the 12 13 applications for rate increase and special assessment 14 but not for the application for interim rate of 15 increase that we're addressing today. 16 MS. RINALDI: I believe we stand -- I believe we 17 join the pro se complainants, Mr. Zini, et al., as 18 far as what the eventual amount is going to be. Wе 19 have questions as to how the accounting was done, the 20 calculations. We don't have an objection to some 21 increase. We realize that's necessary. We certainly 22 need capacity to serve our property, but as far as 23 how those numbers were figured, based upon what, 2.4 we're still a little unclear at this stage of the 25 So that's the basis for our objections.

We agree they've made a prima facie case for some rate increase. What that eventual increase will be, that's what our objection is and as to when those fees -- the timing of when those fees will be due and payable, that's another question we have so far, but I'm not sure that applies to the interim rate increase as far as today.

HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Zini.

2.4

MR. ZINI: If I may make one more point, on the Regulation A, Facility Extension Policy for Mountain Sewer, A2, the last part -- I'll cut to the chase to the end of the paragraph. It says, "Advance payment of the connection fees for proposed subdivision is an express condition precedent to receiving final plat approval by the company."

So I think it's very clearly stated there that these things will be paid up front and we believe that that constitutes a preferential treatment. If not all lots that are prepared to -- that should pay the connection fees are paying them at the same time, and we think that that saps money from going into Mountain Sewer because they are \$5,000 a pop under the new tariff.

HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Zini, if you're able to, to create a factual basis for this discussion that

1 you've been having with Mr. Smith --2 MR. ZINI: Okay. 3 HEARING OFFICER: -- it would be helpful, I 4 think if you could direct questions to the company's 5 witness about a specific lot that you might have in mind that you feel has been -- is being treated in a 6 7 preferential way, and then we can develop a factual 8 record of what preferences or what discrimination you 9 might feel is underway. And that would help to frame 10 the issue for the Commission. 11 Any other preliminary statements? 12 MS. SCHMID: I have one matter. 13 HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Schmid. 14 MS. SCHMID: I do not believe that Celtic Bank 15 has intervened in this case, and I'm wondering if it 16 would be appropriate for Celtic Bank to make such a 17 motion now. 18 MS. RINALDI: We have filed intervention. Wе 19 have filed a petition. We did file a petition on 20 Friday to intervene. I don't know whether that's 21 been approved or not, but we have it on file. 22 have a copy of it. 2.3 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 2.4 MR. C. SMITH: I don't have a copy. I haven't 25 seen that petition. 25

1	HEARING OFFICER: Let's be off the record.
2	(off the record)
3	HEARING OFFICER: On the record.
4	Mr. Zini?
5	MR. ZINI: Thank you. Mr. Long suggested that
6	the original sheet we got this sheet from
7	MRS. ZINI: Mountain Sewer, new tariffs.
8	MR. ZINI: The new tariffs.
9	MRS. ZINI: In the rate case.
10	MR. ZINI: In the rate case. That's the source
11	that I just read straight off the copy of that page,
12	so this is, you know, not a piecemeal. This is
13	something that was in the tariffs. I have the sheet
14	right here. The next issue
15	HEARING OFFICER: Can you identify the sheet by
16	title, page number.
17	MR. ZINI: Regulation A, Facility Extension
18	Policy. It's got a corner heading "Mountain Sewer
19	Corporation, Original Sheet No. 12, PSC Utah No. 1."
20	HEARING OFFICER: I understand this is a part of
21	the proposed tariff.
22	MR. ZINI: Right.
23	HEARING OFFICER: You've taken it from the
24	application.
25	MR. ZINI: Right. And that, as we understand
	26

1 it, is effective as of today with approval, but I 2 mean this was the date, May the 21st. So is that 3 sufficient? 4 HEARING OFFICER: It's not effective yet, but 5 it's certainly under consideration. Should I phrase it that, if this is 6 MR. ZINI: 7 approved, then this becomes facts. 8 satisfactory to me. 9 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Zini. 10 MR. ZINI: One other issue on the standby fees, 11 we feel that the expression of the standby fees in 12 the pro forma of 5/10/12 is that they are inaccurate, 13 and then I'll explain why. According to Mountain 14 Sewer, new tariffs -- again, we're butting up against 15 the new tariff, so it's all based -- Regulation D, 16 Billing D1, "fees to be paid, all owners of platted 17 and recorded lots for which the connection fees have 18 been paid shall pay the monthly standby fees set 19 forth in the rates and fee schedule of the tariff." There is no indication on Mountain Sewer's 20 21 pro forma of May of 10, 2012 of how many of the 54 22 lots -- I heard someone say 55. The figure we had 23 was 54 -- have paid or not paid their connection 2.4 fees, and it is impossible to determine how many of 25 the 54 lots should pay or not pay the new standby 27

1	fees. Until the status of the 54 lots are
2	established, this could be a violation of 543.8A, B,
3	and 2, Preferences Forbidden. Those are the three
4	main issues that we wanted to bring up.
5	HEARING OFFICER: And, again, let me suggest to
6	you that you can ask the Company's witness about the
7	status of payment relative to the 55 lots.
8	MR. ZINI: That's fine.
9	HEARING OFFICER: But I do have a question about
L 0	that for Mr. Smith.
L1	So as I look at the application for interim
L 2	rate increase, it says, "Standby fee, none." That
L 3	would be the current status, but we're discussing now
L 4	a standby fee, and I think you mentioned 55
L 5	MR. C. SMITH: That's the number I have;
L 6	correct.
L 7	HEARING OFFICER: currently pay a standby
L 8	fee. So I'm trying to reconcile in my mind the
L 9	information there is no standby fee currently and 55
20	customers are paying standby fees.
21	MR. C. SMITH: I'm sorry. I don't know what
22	you're looking at.
23	HEARING OFFICER: I'm looking at the Application
24	for Interim Rate Increase. Under Current Rate,
25	Standby Fee, None.
	28

1	MR. C. SMITH: I see. Yeah, I'll have to take a
2	look at that.
3	HEARING OFFICER: Do that, please, and then
4	report back to me. Let's be off the record.
5	(off the record)
б	HEARING OFFICER: On the record.
7	Mr. Smith.
8	MR. C. SMITH: I'm sorry. I think I
9	misunderstood this, and I apologize for the confusion
10	I caused you. But currently no one is paying a
11	standby fee. We have, we believe, 55 who should pay
12	a standby fee and will pay one if we get our new
13	rates approved. Those are again lots that are
14	platted but are not connected to the system. And
15	they have not paid a connection fee because they
16	haven't connected.
17	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
18	MR. ZINI: Well, again, may I rebut that. The
19	fact it says here if you haven't paid the connection
20	fee, you can't charge them the standby fee in the new
21	tariff, so we're cross purposes to the new tariff
22	again as I see it.
23	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Zini.
24	Anything else? Let's turn now to the
25	Applicant, Mr. Smith.
	29

1 MR. C. SMITH: At this time we would like to 2 call Mr. Ray Bowden as our witness. 3 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 4 Mr. Bowden, please step to the witness 5 Before you sit down, if you would face me and 6 raise your right hand please. 7 (The witness is sworn.) 8 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, please be seated. 9 MR. C. SMITH: Mr. Clark, we have some exhibits. 10 I have them in binders. I would like to distribute 11 those at this time. I hope I have enough for 12 everybody. 13 HEARING OFFICER: Please do. Off the record. 14 (off the record) 15 HEARING OFFICER: We'll be on the record. The 16 record will reflect that Mr. Smith has distributed 17 black binders, and within the binder are exhibits 18 that Mountain Sewer intends to introduce. They are 19 numbered 1 through 29. 20 MR. C. SMITH: Thank you. I'm not going -there's some of those I'm not going to introduce, but 21 22 I'll try and be clear about that. If it's okay, I 2.3 would like to stand. I always like to stand when I 2.4 examine witnesses. It helps me to focus if that's 25 okay.

1 HEARING OFFICER: Absolutely. 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. C. SMITH: 4 Ο. Mr. Bowden, would you state your name and 5 address. Ray Bowden. And I live at 5393 East 6 Α. 7 3850 North in Eden, Utah. 8 What's your connection with the Applicant 0. 9 Mountain Sewer? 10 Α. I'm operator of the sewer company. 11 How did you become the operator of the Ο. 12 sewer company? 13 Α. I lent some money to Mr. Ron Catanzaro, and 14 over a period of time he needed additional funds 15 which I gave him, and there was a point to where Ron 16 come to me and told me he wouldn't be able to repay 17 the debt. And I had the real estate and the sewer 18 and water company held as collateral on that debt 19 that he had to me, and he indicated to me that he was 20 just going to go back Florida and at that point I 21 could take over his entities. So that's what we did.

Instead of a foreclosure, we got a deed in lieu, and I ended up -- I knew there was some problems with the sewer company and stuff, but from that point I mean he defaulted on a loan, so

22

2.3

2.4

25

basically I inherited his debt and real estate and sewer and water company.

2.4

- Q. Do you have a background -- can you talk about your background in relation to your current duties as operating the sewer company. Do you have background in that area?
- A. I was a general contractor for about ten years. As far as sewer companies go I sit on the Wolf Creek sewer board for about seven and a half to eight years. I have extensive service in plumbing-related entities. I owned the John Company for 33 years. We had toilet facilities throughout Utah, Wyoming, and Nevada through mining through different things. I'm pretty well versed when it comes to sewer operations. I had another sewer company in Wyoming that I ran and operated for several years, so I've got an extensive background as far as plumbing and sewer.
- Q. Now, how long have you been the manager of the Applicant?
 - A. Since July.
- Q. And tell me what you've done. What your responsibilities have been as the manager of the Applicant.
 - A. Well, I knew there was some issues when I

inherited the sewer company. There was some issues with the water company. The water company was able to address those because there's actually enough money to run that company. What I found with the sewer company is there's not enough money coming in on a monthly basis to pay the bills.

2.4

There was some flooding and stuff that happened. I had to pay our insurance company. It was one of my major things. I didn't like the liability of this entity, and I knew there was issues, and so as soon as I took over, I had a meeting with as many homeowners that I could get together and let them know I was the new owner, told them we had issues and problems we needed to address and take care of.

And after that we had several other meetings, but there was some money that needed to be spent, and at my first meeting, when everybody was there I said, "I can take care of these issues. We can fix them, but the people here are going to pay for these." And there was, you know, some comments back and forth, but I made it very clear that, you know, it was my intentions that the owners pay for thing that needed to be done.

And then I went in and had all the lines

camerad to see where we had issues and problems, and there was several hundred feet of sewer line that was collapsed that needed to be replaced and repaired.

And I was able to -- I had to get a loan to Mountain Sewer from the bank to have some funds to pay for some of these things that had to be done.

2.3

2.4

And I started working on the issues that the complainants had that -- I don't know if they were suing the sewer company, but there were complaints that he wanted to have addressed, and I think at this point I pretty well addressed every issue that was on these complaints. If they are not done, I did the best of my capacity to make sure those issues were done.

There's still a lot of other things there that need to be handled and worked on which I did a spreadsheet to show the additional money that we need to go ahead and have this company up and running the way it should be.

- Q. Was there any cash reserves in the company when you took it over to do these repairs?
 - A. There was nothing when I took over.
- Q. And so as I think you already testified, you arranged to get a loan for the company; is that correct?

A. I went down to the Bank of Utah, and I had our bank set up a loan to Mountain Sewer to take care of some of the needs that I had to address.

2.3

2.4

- Q. Could you just take a minute and talk a little bit about the type of facility the sewer company is or what kind of facilities it has.
- A. Right now it's got -- and I'd like to let some of the people see -- the biggest problem we have is the lift station, and when I took over there was two pumps in there, and there was some flooding that had taken place just before I took over, and it flooded some condos. And as we got into it, we found out that a truck had knocked over a manhole, and a lot of debris and rocks and wood got into the sewer system up on the old Snow Basin Road, and it went down into the lift station and tore both pumps out.

They was able to get some vac trucks up there and try to minimize the flooding so it wouldn't go into Pine View Reservoir, which I think some of the people should have seen how close -- this lift station is just a few hundred feet from Ogden City's water source.

Q. Look at what's been marked as Exhibit 9 in the book and ask if you can identify Exhibit No. 9. So it should be under Tab 9.

1	A. Okay. Yeah, that's the primary lift
2	station.
3	Q. I don't know if all of these some of
4	these don't have color photos. I could distribute
5	these, Mr. Clark. I think yours may be
6	black-and-white, but we have a color photo.
7	MR. ZINI: I have a color photo.
8	MR. C. SMITH: Does everybody have color photos?
9	Q. (BY MR. C. SMITH) See, yours is not. Maybe
L 0	you have the only one that's not color, but I'll give
L1	that to you. Is that the lift station you were
L 2	talking about?
L 3	A. That is. That's the lift station.
L 4	Q. For those of us who may not be experts on
L 5	sewer, what is a lift station and why does Mountain
L 6	Sewer system need a lift station?
L 7	HEARING OFFICER: For my clarification, are we
L 8	talking about the building that is in the shadows?
L 9	A. Yes. It's right behind. There's a vac
20	truck there, was working on that about two weeks ago.
21	And the vac truck goes up to pump out debris and
22	stuff that's clogging in the pumps at this time.
23	There's rags and different things that can go in
24	there because there's not I proposed to have a
25	catch basin before all the sewer from, not all the

homes, but majority, probably 90 percent of the homes, all feed down the mountain and into this lift station and then from this lift station it's pumped up the hill and into the sewer lagoons, which at the point is pressurized.

2.4

When there's enough affluent in these tanks, the pumps kick on automatically and pump this affluent about a half a mile up the mountain and into these sewer lagoons we have. When I was there, the one pump was not working. I expressed to the homeowners we had to get both pumps up and have them work so we don't have another flood situation on our hands.

So I started working on -- we rebuilt the other pump, and we took the other pump out and rebuilt it. But inside the lift station, you have a series of valves and -- gate valves that can shut. If you need to work on that stuff, you have a valve that you can shut off to work on your pumps. Well, these valves haven't worked since back in the '80s. If you don't work them and manage them or maintain them -- they had froze up, and there's no way you could ever shut off any affluent coming into them.

And not only that, there's a check valve in there -- I don't want to get this complicated, but

these pumps would pick up affluent that was about 10 feet down in this lift station, pump it up to about eight feet and shoot it up the mountain. When that pump would cut off, the check valve is supposed to pump back like this to let the rest of the sewer stop at that point.

O. So it doesn't flow back down?

2.4

- A. So it doesn't flow back down into the tank. Well, those check valves haven't worked for years and years and years. Mitch that worked on them says as long as he's been there they've never worked. So after the pumps would stop, all the affluent from the top of the mountain would run back down into the lift station, raise it up about five or six feet so the pumps would constantly --
- Q. So you were recycling or recirculating the same affluent back and forth between where they were being collected below and the ponds where the treatment takes place?
- A. Right. Right. So I ordered the valves -and this is all stuff that I mean has to be done.

 The pumps are just going to keep wearing out. At
 this point there's not a catch basin to collect rags
 and the other debris. And Frank Cumberland was there
 one day when we had the vac truck up there, and we

1	showed him all the blow line. The seals where these
2	pumps would hook onto the pressurized line, the pumps
3	would kick on and about 30, 40 percent of it would
4	blow backwards because the seals were shot. And I
5	showed those to Frank, and he could see that we had
6	that issue, and I just wanted to have some of the
7	people to be aware some of the things we was trying
8	to get accomplished, you know.
9	Q. What would have happened if this system
10	failed and the pumps failed and they didn't pump up
11	the affluent? What happens? Where does the affluent
12	go?
13	A. That water would go right into Pine View
14	Reservoir.
15	Q. And in the background of Exhibit 9, that's
16	Pine View Reservoir?
17	A. That's Pine View Reservoir. That's the
18	drinking source for Ogden City.
19	Q. Right. Okay.
20	I move for admission of Exhibit 9 at this
21	time.
22	HEARING OFFICER: Any objection?
23	MS. SCHMID: No.
24	HEARING OFFICER: Be received.
25	Q. (BY MR. C. SMITH) By the way, have you been

1	able to repay any of that it sounds like we have a
2	loan to do these repairs you were just talking about.
3	A. Yeah.
4	Q. Has that loan been repaid?
5	A. No.
б	Q. Why not?
7	A. We haven't come to terms with the
8	homeowners to see how it's going to get paid. It got
9	to a point where I had some negotiations and they
10	wanted somebody else besides them to pay for all this
11	work that's getting done, and I didn't really want to
12	do it.
13	MR. ZINI: I object, Your Honor. That touches
14	on the special assessment which is not to be brought
15	and discussed in the interim rate case that
16	testimony, the loan.
17	HEARING OFFICER: Objection. Overruled.
18	MR. C. SMITH: Thank you.
19	HEARING OFFICER: Let me be clear. The subject
20	you're describing isn't under consideration today,
21	but the information is
22	MR. ZINI: Okay. All right.
23	HEARING OFFICER: relevant.
24	MR. C. SMITH: Thank you.
25	Q. (BY MR. C. SMITH) Mr. Bowden, I'd like you
	4 0

1	to take a look at Exhibit 1 in your book and ask if
2	you can identify Exhibit 1 for me. From now on, I
3	think we'll pretty much follow the order through
4	that. Can you identify Exhibit 1.
5	A. I do. That's from Eileen Thomas. She does
6	the payables for the company, and she does also a
7	portion of the receivables.
8	Q. And she was informing you that there wasn't
9	sufficient funds to make payments; is that correct?
10	A. And it still that way today.
11	Q. Okay. And so you simply the company
12	doesn't have the money to pay its debts at this point
13	in time?
14	A. No.
15	MR. C. SMITH: I move for admission of
16	Exhibit 1?
17	HEARING OFFICER: Any objection? It's received.
18	Q. (BY MR. C. SMITH) Okay. Let's have you
19	also for a minute take a look at Exhibit No. 2 and
20	ask if you can identify that.
21	A. I do.
22	Q. And what is that?
23	A. Well, we submitted for a general rate
24	increase.
25	Q. And Exhibit 2 is the Application for
	41

1 Interim Rate Increase; is that correct? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Have you had a chance to review that? Ο. 4 Α. I have. 5 Q. And to the best of your understanding is the information contained in that Exhibit 2, the 6 7 Application for Interim Rate Increase, accurate? 8 It's accurate. 9 Ο. And why do you need an interim rate? 10 can't we wait until the general rate increase is 11 approved or not approved? Why are we here today 12 asking for an interim rate increase? 13 Α. Well, if somebody doesn't pay the utility 14 bills and power bills and pay for a state licensed 15 engineer that it's mandated by the state that we 16 have, he hasn't been paid for last month. You're not 17 going to have an engineer that's certified with the 18 state to inspect the system and the power should be 19 shut off here within -- I don't know how long they'll 20 give us, but you've got utilities bills that can't be 21 paid. You've got engineers that can't be paid, and 22 Eileen can't even get paid. 2.3 Eileen's the person that takes care of the

Q. Eileen's the person that takes care of the records for the company and does the billing; is that correct?

2.4

1	A. That's correct.
2	MR. C. SMITH: I'll move for admission of
3	Exhibit 2.
4	HEARING OFFICER: Objection? It's received.
5	Q. Let's now go to Exhibit No. 3. Can you
6	take a minute and identify Exhibit No. 3 for me,
7	Mr. Bowden.
8	A. General rate increase.
9	Q. Have you had a chance to review the general
L 0	rate increase?
L1	A. I have.
L 2	Q. In fact, you had input on the creation of
L 3	that document; is that correct?
L 4	A. I did.
L 5	Q. Is that information contained in there
L 6	accurate and correct to the best of your knowledge?
L 7	A. To the best of my knowledge it is.
L 8	Q. The general rate increase that the company
L 9	is asking for, that's the same as the interim rate
2 0	increase; is that correct? Same amounts?
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. And let me have you take a look at some of
23	the schedules that are part of that rate increase
24	application. If we could go to first of all, I'll
25	move for admission of Exhibit No. 3.
	43

1 HEARING OFFICER: Objection? It's received. 2 Let me have you go to Exhibit 3 that's Ο. 3 marked as G 2 that's in the back there. If I can 4 show you what that is so you can find that, that's 5 this proposed rate schedule. It should be near the 6 end of Exhibit No. 3 right there. Okay. 7 everybody found that? 8 HEARING OFFICER: I'm going to need help to make 9 sure I'm in the right place. 10 MR. C. SMITH: You're close. If I could help 11 you, the numbers are up in the corner here. It's 12 hard to see, but that's G 2 where we are right now. 13 HEARING OFFICER: So we're on a page that has in 14 the left-hand corner, "Mountain Sewer Corporation 15 General Rate Case, G2. rate schedule, " and it's dated 16 4/6/2012. 17 MR. C. SMITH: That's correct. So the record is 18 clear, that's an exhibit to the Application for 19 General Rate Increase that's marked as Exhibit No. 3. 20 (BY MR. C. SMITH) Okay. And can you tell 21 us what Exhibit G2 shows, Mr. Bowden? 22 Α. Yes. It shows your fixed expenses set at 23 Capital reserve is \$12.26. The usage 2.4 expenses to connect to customers is \$32.67 with a 25 standard of 57.06. 44

Τ	Q. Okay.
2	A. And we also have a standby fee of \$24.40.
3	Q. Okay. Thank you. Now, let me have you
4	turn to Exhibit G4. That's three pages past G2, and
5	that's entitled Revenue Requirement Calculations. Do
6	you have that in front of you, Mr. Bowden?
7	A. I do.
8	Q. And, Mr. Bowden, can you briefly explain
9	what this Revenue Requirement Calculations is doing
L 0	or attempting to show?
L1	A. Well, it tries to break everything out in
L 2	separate categories to show how we can come to a
L 3	figure of our \$57.
L 4	Q. Now, is that how the rates were determined
L 5	was by calculating the expenses of the company?
L 6	A. Yes.
L 7	Q. Let me have you look at let me go
L 8	through a few of these. It appears that if we look
L 9	at the total operating revenue, which is line seven
2 0	on Exhibit 3G4, it has a total revenue requirement of
21	\$103,643; is that correct?
22	A. Yes, uh-huh.
23	Q. That amount is based on the expenses that
24	are shown below that; is that correct?
25	A. Yes, uh-huh.
	45

1	Q. And just to run through some of those, it
2	looks like you have calculated an annual salary for
3	office employees of 6,600?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Is that the Eileen we we're talking about?
6	A. Yes. I have two ladies that help me do
7	that. Eileen does about 80 percent of it.
8	Q. They help you on a part-time basis.
9	A. Part time.
10	Q. This certainly isn't what next one, I
11	guess there's things you're not paying for. You
12	don't have any wages, employee benefits or anything
13	like that. But if you go down to line 15 on that
14	exhibit do you see that line 15 that says Purchase
15	Power?
16	A. Uh-huh.
17	Q. What is that? What is purchase power?
18	A. I would assume that would be the utilities.
19	Q. Is that the electricity?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. To run the
22	A. Uh-huh.
23	Q. And you need electricity to run the system,
24	I take it.
25	A. Well, you need electricity to run the lift
	46

1 stations, and we've repaired the pumps at this point, 2 but still aerators and sewer lagoons, out of three 3 ponds there's half of them that work in one pond. So 4 once we get to a point where we get all of them 5 working, our utilities will go up a little bit, the 6 power. 7 I see. And this 5,400 is what you're 0. 8 forecasting per year for electric power. 9 Α. Yes. 10 And that's based your experience so far in Ο. 11 running the system? 12 Well, the records that we've had since I've Α. 13 taken over, that's what we've come up with. 14 Okay. Next, line 17 -- I'm sorry. Q. Line --15 I'll get my lines straight here. I should have 16 brought a ruler to keep track of these. Yeah, it's 17 Line 17, chemicals, and it's \$150 for chemicals. 18 you see that? 19 Α. Yes. I take it you need to buy chemicals to run 20 21 the system? 22 Α. The chemicals we're talking about here is 23 the weed killer and stuff we have to apply around the 2.4 sewer lagoons to keep the weeds and stuff out of the 25 lagoons. They are clay lined, and you can't have

1 weeds growing through them to let water appropriate 2 through the clay. 3 If you allow weeds to grow through the clay Ο. 4 line, you would lose the benefit of the lining and 5 you start leaching into the ground? That's what those chemicals are 6 Α. Right. 7 for. 8 Below that you have contractual services 0. 9 for engineering for \$1500 on Line 19. I'm sorry. 10 I'm skipping ahead. Materials and supplies. 11 will help me. Thank you. I'm having a hard time 12 keeping these straight. Yeah, Line 18, material and 13 supplies, \$1500. Tell me what that's for? Is that 14 just miscellaneous? 15 That's miscellaneous, plumbing, supplies, Α. 16 stuff like that. 17 Q. Below that on Line 19 we have contractual 18 services, engineering. Can you explain what \$1,200 19 per year for that. What's that for? 20 Well, we use Great Basin Engineering to do 21 our engineering, and where the lines are run and 22 stuff, they have all that information. So as we need 23 their assistance and help, then they come to our aid 2.4 as far as giving us where the lines are, the depth of 25 the line, when the lines were put in, and that's why 48

1	I use them.
2	Q. Also, you have Line 21, contractual
3	services, legal. Is that for legal counsel for the
4	company?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. That's not for the rate increase we're here
7	for today?
8	A. No.
9	Q. That's just ongoing regular, every year,
L 0	legal help?
L1	A. Yes.
L 2	Q. Contractual services, management fees, do
L 3	you see that?
L 4	A. I do.
L 5	Q. That's \$22,000 \$24,000. Who is that and
L 6	what's that for?
L 7	A. That would be for me to oversee the
L 8	payables, receivables, our state engineer, work with
L 9	him on almost a daily basis and to make sure the
20	system is up and operating. I estimated that I'm
21	putting way more than ten hours in a week now, but I
22	estimated about ten hours a week to manage and
23	oversee the company at \$50 an hour.
24	Q. Have you been paid so far for your
25	management of the company?
	49

1	A. I haven't been paid anything.
2	Q. I take it you're not willing to continue to
3	work for free for the company?
4	A. I'm not going to keep working for free.
5	Q. So that's to pay you for your time that you
6	estimated, \$10 an hour?
7	A. Per week.
8	Q. And have you investigated some other
9	potential managers for the company what that would
10	cost?
11	A. Yes. I contacted two other contractors
12	to
13	Q. Go ahead. If I could have you look at
14	Exhibit 4 and 5. Still hold your place there. We're
15	not finished with that. I want to cover that while
16	that's fresh in everybody's mind. Can you identify
17	Exhibit 4 for me.
18	A. These are outside contractors that have the
19	expertise and experience to do the same job I could
20	do at Mountain Sewer.
21	Q. I see Exhibit 4 that's a United Team
22	Mechanical. That's somebody that has the expertise
23	to run a sewer system, I take it.
24	A. Right.
25	Q. They would want \$2,400 a month for that
	50

1	service?
2	A. That's right.
3	Q. That's more than what you're going to get
4	paid if we get this approved?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Tell me what when Exhibit 5 is.
7	A. Exhibit 5 is Smedley, and they are somebody
8	that I don't use on a day-to-day I hardly ever use
9	them, but I wanted to get somebody with an outside
10	input on what they would charge if they had to come
11	up and do the same duties and responsibilities I had
12	and got a quote.
13	Q. They would want \$2,700 a month; correct?
14	A. That's right.
15	MR. C. SMITH: I move for admission of
16	Exhibit 4 and 5.
17	HEARING OFFICER: Objections? Received.
18	Q. (BY MR. C. SMITH) I take it you feel paying
19	you \$24,000 a year is a very fair and reasonable rate
20	for company?
21	A. I think it's very fair.
22	Q. Okay. Let's go down to the next item is
23	contractual services. Now, we're back I'm sorry
24	we're back at Exhibit 3 page G4 of Exhibit 3, line 24
25	where it says "Contractual services, other, 2300."
25	where it says "Contractual services, other, 2300."
	51

1	Tell me what that's for.
2	A. I lost my where am I?
3	Q. Sorry to make you look back and forth.
4	A. I should have put my thumb on that.
5	Q. Yeah, let me get you back to that spot.
6	A. Okay. All right.
7	Q. That's \$2,300 for contractual services,
8	other. Can you explain what that's for a little bit.
9	Is that for other contract labor you might need
10	during the year?
11	A. Yes, uh-huh. That's probably where I have
12	Mitch. He would fit in that category. He's over all
13	the electronics and the phone system and stuff for
14	the lift station. If the lift station the pumps
15	do clog up. It's an electronic system there. It
16	needs to be updated. The one we have calls him at
17	home. He calls me and let's me know what the problem
18	is.
19	Q. So Mitch is contract help.
20	A. Right. Contract engineer.
21	Q. What's Mitch's last name? Do you know it?
22	A. Winegar.
23	Q. Winegar.
24	A. Yeah.
25	Q. You have some other contract workers, I
	5 2

_	
1	take it, that help you on occasion?
2	A. I do.
3	Q. Down to line we'll now skip down to
4	Line 31 where it says insurance, \$12,000. Do you see
5	that?
6	A. I do.
7	Q. Can you take a moment and explain what the
8	insurance needs of the company are?
9	A. Well, we really needed it because at this
L 0	point the insurance company is being sued because of
L1	all the flooding and stuff, liability.
L 2	Q. Part of that insurance is liability
L 3	insurance?
L 4	A. Yeah.
L 5	Q. Do you have property and casualty insurance
L 6	as well?
L 7	A. Yes.
L 8	Q. That cost came from what you're paying as
L 9	premiums, I take it, at the present time for your
20	insurance?
21	A. It does.
22	Q. Okay. And then we have amortization of the
23	rate of case. That's this case here. That's number
24	33; is that correct?
25	A. Yes.

1 Down to miscellaneous -- then you have Q. 2 miscellaneous expenses, 3,760. I take it that's just 3 kind of a catch-all for other expenses that the 4 company may have during the year? 5 Α. Yes, it is, uh-huh. Those numbers, as I see, make up -- if you 6 0. 7 look at line 38, the total operating expense yearly 8 of 71,566; is that correct? 9 Α. It is, uh-huh. 10 Below that we have some lines for some 0. 11 taxes, total of -- to save some time, I won't go 12 through each tax individually, but it looks like you 13 have a total tax requirements of \$4,385 a year. Do 14 you see that? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Ο. Is that based on what you're paying on 17 taxes now? 18 Α. Yes. Last year, yeah. 19 Last year's taxes. Okay. So if we were Q. 20 then to go down to Line 49, you have -- would still 21 have -- you know, if you take the 71,566 from the 22 103,643, you have a net operating income of 27,692 23 under the new rate structure that we're asking the 2.4 Commission to approve? 25 Α. Yes. 54

1	Q. Then would you have some depreciation, and
2	of that \$27,000 you would I think we'll cover that
3	on another sheet, but that would go into your reserve
4	accounts to start building up a reserve?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Let's now go to Mr. Clark, unless you
7	have other questions about Exhibit G4 I'm ready to
8	move to the next part of the exhibit, but if you have
9	something unclear, I'd be happy to have Mr. Bowden
10	answer any more questions that you may have on
11	schedule G4.
12	HEARING OFFICER: I'm curious about and I can
13	ask these at the end.
14	MR. C. SMITH: This is fine. Why don't we do it
15	while we are here.
16	HEARING OFFICER: That's fine with me to do that
17	as well as long as it's acceptable to you.
18	The plant in service valuation, how is that
19	arrived at? And I'm looking at line 50.
20	MR. C. SMITH: Yeah, there's earlier schedules
21	that help explain that. Let me direct Mr. Bowden to
22	help you answer that question since you're the one
23	that needs to answer it, not me. Let me find the
24	schedule that covers that.
25	HEARING OFFICER: Is it G3?

1	MR. C. SMITH: Yeah, it is G3. Have Mr. Bowden
2	look at G3.
3	HEARING OFFICER: And I see the numbers. What
4	I'm really interested in is, recognizing that the
5	corporate records left something to be desired, my
6	inquiry is about the source of the numbers on this
7	page if Mr. Bowden knows.
8	Q. (BY MR. C. SMITH) Do you know, Mr. Bowden,
9	how we came up this was the value of the fixed
10	assets of the company and we applied the depreciation
11	schedule to those fixed assets. Fixed assets include
12	land and then depreciable equipment is the other one,
13	\$77,760. So do you know where those figures came
14	from that we determined the value of the land and
15	another assets of the company?
16	A. I think they came through accounting
17	services and Mel Smith's office.
18	Q. Would they also be that that came from
19	other information that had been previously filed with
20	the Public Service Commission?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And I see from that you have a land value
23	of \$77,760. How much land does the sewer company
24	hold, just approximately?
25	A. I would say around seven acres.
	5 6

1	Q. And that has ponds and other facilities, I
2	take it?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And as you see on this, we have a lot of
5	some figures for the equipment and other structures
6	of the company. That's the mechanical workings
7	A. Yes. The blowers and all that.
8	Q ponds and all that sort of thing.
9	A. Yes.
10	MR. C. SMITH: Mr. Clark, does that answer your
11	question on that?
12	HEARING OFFICER: It does. I'm going to address
13	a question to Mr. Smith, Mr. Mel Smith. He's not a
14	witness but has perhaps most familiarity with the
15	corporate governance and filings. So the annual
16	filings with the Commission have over time generated
17	the numbers that we're seeing here on G3. There's
18	been an orderly process of additions to rate base,
19	depreciation.
20	MR. M. SMITH: That's correct. If you go clear
21	back to when the PSC first approved, there was
22	approval of about \$5,000. There was another large
23	infusion if capital in about 2002 when the Lake Side
24	Condominiums came on. From year to year,
25	Ron Catanzaro through his accountant did file the 57

annual returns to the PSC. Those returns that we were able to obtain, most all from PSC -- we had ten years I think we were able to get from PSC, and it's from the latest year that Brian had discussion with the two of us that we plugged those number in. They were very consistent from year to year, and we passed it by our accountant who filed several of these years, and he said they were very accurate and felt comfortable with the numbers.

2.3

2.4

HEARING OFFICER: So for purposes of the prima facie case that we are developing today, I would like to consider that information that counsel has offered. Is there any objection to me doing that? That doesn't mean that parties would not be entitled to dispute the accuracy of any of these entries in the case in chief, but I'm interested in having information in the record as to the source of the numbers, the rate of based numbers that are before the commission.

Mr. Zini, did you want to address that?

MR. ZINI: Yes. There's a question I have, and
I realize there's different dates. They are work off
of a sheet that's called the GA Revenue Requirement
Sheet dated 4/2 of 2012. And I'm wondering why they
are quoting those figures when we have an updated pro

1	forma dated 5/10/12 that have the same numbers on
2	them, and they are not exactly the same.
3	HEARING OFFICER: The same categories of numbers
4	but not the same numbers.
5	MR. ZINI: Yes. The numbers are different as
6	you go through each one of those. I'm just wondering
7	where why we're using an old sheet when they
8	themselves put out a newer sheet on May the 10th.
9	HEARING OFFICER: Do you mind if we ask
10	Mr. Mel Smith?
11	MR. ZINI: Not at all.
12	MR. M. SMITH: I'm not sure what he's referring
13	to. I'm looking at the exhibit.
14	HEARING OFFICER: Off the record.
	HEARING OFFICER: Off the record. (off the record)
15	
15 16	(off the record)
15 16 17	(off the record) HEARING OFFICER: For the information of the
15 16 17 18	(off the record) HEARING OFFICER: For the information of the participants here today, we're going to have a recess
15 16 17 18	(off the record) HEARING OFFICER: For the information of the participants here today, we're going to have a recess in just a minute. We'll try to resolve this
15 16 17 18 19	(off the record) HEARING OFFICER: For the information of the participants here today, we're going to have a recess in just a minute. We'll try to resolve this question. Mr. Zini has been referring to a pro forma
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(off the record) HEARING OFFICER: For the information of the participants here today, we're going to have a recess in just a minute. We'll try to resolve this question. Mr. Zini has been referring to a pro forma that has a date of May 10.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(off the record) HEARING OFFICER: For the information of the participants here today, we're going to have a recess in just a minute. We'll try to resolve this question. Mr. Zini has been referring to a pro forma that has a date of May 10. MR. ZINI: May 10th.
15 16 17 18 19 20	(off the record) HEARING OFFICER: For the information of the participants here today, we're going to have a recess in just a minute. We'll try to resolve this question. Mr. Zini has been referring to a pro forma that has a date of May 10. MR. ZINI: May 10th. HEARING OFFICER: Could you describe the source
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(off the record) HEARING OFFICER: For the information of the participants here today, we're going to have a recess in just a minute. We'll try to resolve this question. Mr. Zini has been referring to a pro forma that has a date of May 10. MR. ZINI: May 10th. HEARING OFFICER: Could you describe the source of that, how you received it and

meeting, and Mr. Mel Smith handed out some sheets and we had a member -- a homeowner from the Lake Side

Villages there who happens to be an accountant with

us. Apparently, Mr. Smith went over and gave him

these sheets or maybe sent them to him later. I'm

not quite sure. He came in possession of it. We

were not aware of these until after we had mailed in

our first narrative.

2.4

So our accountant -- Ray Allen is his name -- sent these to us. In fact, you might remember. He sent this pro forma to us, and our view is that evidently they want to work from the latest figures that they've worked up except now when we're talking about this they go back to the old figures, and it's very confusing on what set of figures we're going to use here. I think that needs to be established.

HEARING OFFICER: We'll be in recess until quarter to 11, and when we come back the company can respond --

MR. C. SMITH: Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER: -- the matter Mr. Zini has raised. Thank you very much. We'll be off the record.

(off the record)

HEARING OFFICER: We'll be on the record. So we were considering before the recess the pro forma information and what, if any, role it will have in the case, recognizing that the corporate operations is sort of a moving target and the application represents a snapshot in time, so apparently there's been some information that was distributed that postdates the application. Mr. Craig Smith, would you address that, please.

2.3

2.4

MR. C. SMITH: That's exactly right. These are projections. This is a moving target. What we're here asking for today is what's in our application which was done back in April. We have done some minor tweaks to some of the numbers after that. I think the difference when looking at the sheet that Mr. Zini had -- about \$500 in difference was all there was.

So rather than -- as we will gather and as we prepare for the rate case, we will get better information as we have more experience with the system, but as far as what we're trying to accomplish today, we'll stick with the numbers and the exhibits that we have as part of our application. That way everybody's had a chance to look at that.

Everybody's had a chance to digest that. I think

1 that makes more sense. 2 You know, as we go on and learn more, sure 3 we're going to -- it is a moving target as you 4 mentioned, and we're going to try to refine the 5 numbers as much as we can as we get ready for our final rate proceeding. But as far as what we're 6 7 trying to get accomplished which is get these interim 8 rates in place, I think the best numbers to use are 9 the ones that we have in our application. 10 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Zini, any comment or 11 objection to that process? MR. ZINI: No problem, not at all. I just want 12 13 to make sure we're all working from the same source. 14 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 15 MR. C. SMITH: If I can continue, I have just a 16 few more questions --17 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Smith, please continue. 18 MR. C. SMITH: -- for Mr. Bowden. 19 (BY MR. C. SMITH) If I could have you, Ο. 20 Mr. Bowden, go to Exhibit G6, I have a few questions 21 about that exhibit. That's a couple pages after G4 22 and it's entitled -- when I'm saying G6, it's 2.3 Schedule G6 on Exhibit 3. So keep the record clean 2.4 on that. 25 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 62

1	MR. C. SMITH: So Schedule G6 on Exhibit 3 says
2	test your data, profit and loss, August 2011 through
3	February 2012. Was this an exercise that you used to
4	try to develop a budget for the upcoming year?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And this is based on actual experience from
7	July until February; is that correct?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And then you've done some projections for
10	the four months after that. You had to project?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. But, to the best of your knowledge, is
13	this does Schedule G6 show, at least to the best
14	you can tell at the time, what your monthly budget
15	would need to be?
16	A. As close as I can get.
17	Q. Yeah. Then you obviously annualize that
18	for an annual budget, and that's how you came up with
19	the numbers and Schedule G4; is that right?
20	A. That's correct.
21	Q. And to the best of your knowledge this is
22	the amount of funding that is needed by the company
23	to continue to operate?
24	A. It is.
25	Q. Let me have I have a few more exhibits

1	I'd like to go through to have you also identify. If
2	we can go to Exhibit 6, just to put these into the
3	record, I don't think I have a lot of questions. Can
4	you identify Exhibit 6 for me?
5	A. Yes. This looks like from the onset of
6	Mountain Sewer, from the time it started to the best
7	of our I don't think we missed anything. We could
8	have missed one. I doubt it. But these are all the
9	connections, when they were when they come online.
10	This is probably even before probably building
11	permit stage.
12	Q. Okay. So this is a list of at least to the
13	best your knowledge all of your connections to the
14	sewer system?
15	A. Right. When they came on, yeah.
16	Q. And then Exhibit 7, tell me what that is.
17	Exhibit 7, is that the standby?
18	A. Oh, yes.
19	Q. List of standby
20	A. This is the standby customers, yes.
21	MR. C. SMITH: I move for admission of
22	Exhibit 6 and 7.
23	HEARING OFFICER: Objections?
24	They are received.
25	Q. (BY MR. C. SMITH) Let me have you take a
	64

1 minute and look at Exhibit 8, and tell me if you can 2 identify Exhibit 8? 3 Α. For the general rate increase. 4 Ο. That should be the application for special Is that what that is? 5 assessment. Oh, special assessment? 6 Α. 7 Yeah. Ο. 8 Oh, yes, yes, yes. Α. 9 We're not covering the special assessment Ο. 10 today, but just to give a little background, why are 11 you asking for a special assessment? 12 Well, this is the money that I was able 13 to -- we got a loan from the Bank of Utah to Mountain 14 Sewer, and after the flood there was all these things 15 and the homeowners had several different items that I 16 had to do a punch list in and try to get corrected 17 and brought up to speed. 18 That's what I started working on, and there 19 was -- after we camerad the lines and found there was 20 broken and distressed sewer lines, I had to go in and 21 make those repairs. But it was all stuff to just 22 keep the system running and not to flood again. 23 that's the money that I've spent, and I've got every 2.4 penny itemized to where it goes and what it's for.

And the 71,566 annual operating expenses

65

25

Ο.

1	that are in Exhibit 3, Schedule G4, that won't repay
2	this debt, I take it?
3	A. No.
4	Q. There's not that's not that repayment
5	of that debt's not built into the regular rate
6	schedule?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Now, you have asked in a supplement we
9	filed just last, you've asked to be able to make some
L 0	payments on the line of credit or the debt that the
L1	company has?
L 2	A. Yes.
L 3	Q. You know, borrow these regular payment
L 4	funds to do that. Why do you need to do that?
L 5	A. Well, if we don't keep the interest current
L 6	and stuff, the bank will foreclose, and we'll go back
L 7	to the sewer company again.
L 8	Q. So you have to make at least interest
L 9	payments to the bank
2 0	A. I do.
21	Q while we're waiting to see if our
22	special rate can be approved or special assessment
23	is approved; is that correct?
24	A. Yes and they are running late right now.
25	MR. C. SMITH: Okay. I move for admission of
	66

1 Exhibit 8. 2 HEARING OFFICER: Objections? 3 MR. ZINI: Yes, Judge. I still -- I don't see 4 how this affects the interim rate case. 5 MR. C. SMITH: Well --HEARING OFFICER: Do you want to address that? 6 7 MR. C. SMITH: Yeah, let me address that. 8 filed a supplemental filing last week and it was 9 objected to, but we did file it, and what we're 10 asking, Mr. Clark, is to have the ability to take 11 monies that we receive from our fees, to borrow that 12 money and have kind of an inter-fund loan, borrow 13 from ourselves, and apply that to the interest 14 payments we need to make to the bank. Right now we 15 have no funds to do that. The bank doesn't care that 16 we don't have these rates approved yet. What the 17 bank wants is the interest paid every month, and we 18 need to keep current with the bank so we don't go 19 into default on our loan. 20 In a perfect world, I guess, we would have 21 money to do that; we'd have some reserves to do that. 22 We don't have any reserves. So what we're asking --2.3 the only way this is relevant is -- the only thing 2.4 that's relevant about that is we're asking to be able 25 to have the permission of the Commission to take

money that's paid to us monthly either by the standby or by the usage fees and apply that money to our loan. We will account for that, and when we hopefully get our special rate -- our special assessment approved, then we will repay that loan to ourselves.

2.4

But our creditors, again, they don't care where we are with the Public Service Commission or what is approved, they want to get paid. And we were in a position where we were forced to come in and do immediate work, and our customers were very unhappy with us. They filed a formal complaint. As

Mr. Bowden I think has already testified, he was concerned about leaking into -- we could have easily leaked into the lake from overflows. It's money we had to spend, and we just want to be able to stay current on our debts while we're going through this process. And that's my response.

HEARING OFFICER: So a few questions about that. First, if I'm understanding your representations, there isn't any recovery of interest or principal relating to this line of credit in the -- I think it's G4, the presentation of monthly operating expenses. Is that true?

MR. C. SMITH: That's correct. We are

1	addressing that through our special assessment which
2	will either be paid in a lump sum or over time, but
3	that's where we're going to get those funds
4	hopefully.
5	HEARING OFFICER: Would it be your intent to pay
6	principal and interest on the line of credit in this
7	loan
8	MR. C. SMITH: Yeah, I think in this interim
9	period we just need to pay interest. I think we've
10	got the deal with the bank I should use a more
11	formal term than that, but the requirements of the
12	bank is that we keep the interest current for now.
13	HEARING OFFICER: And what's the monthly
14	interest burden?
15	MR. C. SMITH: Mr. Bowden, what are you paying
16	every month?
17	MR. BOWDEN: At this point we're about 125,000,
18	and we're paying about 7 percent interest.
19	MR. C. SMITH: So break that down to a monthly
20	and that would be it.
21	MR. BOWDEN: Yeah, it's probably around five or
22	six hundred dollars a month.
23	MR. C. SMITH: That's all we're asking at this
24	time. We're not asking to pay the principal at this
25	time. We're asking to be able to keep current with

1 our bank so that we don't have the problems you have 2 when you default to a bank. And I quess Celtic Bank 3 could address that even better than we can. 4 the person left from Celtic. But when you don't pay 5 your bank, they come and foreclose. That's how they 6 became owners. The prior owner didn't pay his bank, 7 and that's why the bank is one of our owners. 8 HEARING OFFICER: So the estimate is five to six 9 hundred a month interest payment? 10 MR. C. SMITH: That's right. 11 Mr. Zini, any questions based HEARING OFFICER: 12 on my questions? 13 MR. ZINI: Yes. We were the party that objected 14 to this proposal for two reasons. First of all, the 15 filing was late by Mr. Bowden, and second of all, we 16 don't feel that the ratepayers should absorb any more 17 costs because the legal team from Mountain Sewer did 18 not include the special assessment in the interim 19 rate case. 20 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 21 MR. C. SMITH: And I've just -- Mr. Mel Smith 22 ran the numbers. It's really about \$730 a month is 2.3 what it is. 2.4 That was just an estimate. MR. BOWDEN: He ran the numbers, and it would 25 MR. C. SMITH: 70

1	be nice if we had another way to pay for these
2	things. The only way we have to pay for everything
3	is from our ratepayers.
4	One other exhibit and maybe we don't
5	even have to worry about this. I would there was
6	a question about notices. We do have an affidavit
7	that shows notice was sent to Celtic Bank. I don't
8	know if
9	MS. RINALDI: No.
10	MR. C. SMITH: You're not objecting to the
11	notice.
12	MS. RINALDI: No.
13	MR. C. SMITH: So I can bypass that. I believe
14	that's all questions I have for Mr. Bowden at this
15	time.
16	HEARING OFFICER: I'm interested in that. When
17	was the notice served?
18	MR. C. SMITH: According to the affidavit, if I
19	can approach
20	HEARING OFFICER: Sure.
21	MR. C. SMITH: I have copies of the affidavit so
22	you can have that.
23	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
24	MR. C. SMITH: The Notice was sent like it was
25	to everyone else. I have copies here for everyone.
	71

1	It's the same place that the bills are sent to Celtic
2	Bank for their sewer fees for their lots that are
3	connected, and it was sent on April 4th.
4	HEARING OFFICER: Looks to me like April 18th.
5	MR. C. SMITH: I'm sorry. I looked at the wrong
6	date on the paper. We sent out notice to everybody,
7	and, you know, probably went to somebody that I
8	don't know who it went to at the bank. We have
9	address, and we sent it to that same address.
10	HEARING OFFICER: Is this an extra copy?
11	MR. C. SMITH: That is an extra copy.
12	MS. RINALDI: That was simply during the time
13	Celtic moved its entire operation downtown. So God
14	only knows what else went missing. This was one of
15	the things, so we're fine.
16	MR. C. SMITH: I wanted to try to point out that
17	we did our best to get notice to everybody, and we
18	didn't miss anybody. But that's all the questions I
19	have for Mr. Bowden at this time.
20	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Smith.
21	Ms. Schmid.
22	MS. SCHMID: I have just a few for clarification
23	purposes.
24	CROSS-EXAMINATION
25	BY MS. SCHMID:

1	Q. Mr. Bowden, in the application for special
2	assessment it says the principal of the loan is
3	215,000. Did I hear you say that the true amount was
4	225,000 or did I misunderstand?
5	A. Well, there's one is a loan. The other
6	is additional funds I need to make the other
7	improvements on the system.
8	Q. So the amount of the loan is \$215,000?
9	A. No. The loan at the Bank of Utah is around
10	125,000, and then we have there's almost the
11	difference would be the other things we need to do to
12	the sewer system to bring it up to state and
13	operating conditions that we need requirements. I
14	think there's a breakdown on that that shows all the
15	other items that we need to keep working on.
16	Q. Okay. That makes more sense. Thank you
17	for the explanation.
18	When you prepared your interim rate
19	request, you had rates for both connected customers
20	and for standby customers; is that correct?
21	A. Correct.
22	Q. What happens if rates for standby customers
23	are not approved?
24	A. Well, there's it's just that's a
25	source for us to get revenue on the sewer system
	73

1	that's run right by their lots that they are not
2	paying anything for and the other homeowners sitting
3	there it's around three or four lots that
4	investors have bought is sharing the whole burden.
5	Q. So if you don't get revenues from the
6	standby fees, am I correct that it would cause
7	financial harm to the company?
8	A. If we don't get that, the rest of the
9	people that are connected would pay even more.
L 0	MS. SCHMID: Thank you. Those are all my
L1	questions.
L 2	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Schmid.
L 3	Mr. Zini.
L 4	MR. ZINI: Yes. Can I do that from I guess I
L 5	can.
L 6	HEARING OFFICER: Absolutely.
L 7	MR. ZINI: First of all, I would like to pass
L 8	out our narrative and updated narrative and
L 9	spreadsheets and so forth. Here's for the
2 0	HEARING OFFICER: Off the record.
21	(off the record)
22	HEARING OFFICER: On the record.
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2 4	BY MR. ZINI:
25	Q. Mr. Bowden, did you perform any audits or
	I and the second secon

1 due diligence prior to this transfer of assets? 2 Α. No. 3 So you went in and bought this company with Ο. 4 the idea that -- can you describe the agreement that vou had with Mr. Catanzaro? 5 Well, I didn't walk in and just buy this 6 Α. 7 company. 8 MR. C. SMITH: If I can jump in, I'm sorry. I'm 9 going to object to this line of questioning about 10 things that happened before Mr. Bowden became the 11 owner. I don't think that's relevant to what's 12 before the Commission today, what due diligence he 13 may or may not have done. He testified he was a 14 lender and ended up foreclosing that. Again, I don't 15 see the relevance. 16 HEARING OFFICER: I'm going to overrule the 17 objection, Mr. Zini. You can ask these questions. 18 MR. ZINI: Thank you. 19 HEARING OFFICER: The matter was raised in the 20 direct testimony, but I just want you to know that I 21 expect that this line of inquiry would be 22 principally -- would principally take place in the 23 case in chief. So I think they've opened the door to 2.4 some examination on this subject, so you proceed with 25 your questions. 75

1 MR. ZINI: Thank you. 2 HEARING OFFICER: I want you to know, again, the 3 issue is going to be central in the case in chief. 4 (BY MR. ZINI) Okay. Can you describe --5 again, I'll repeat the question. Can you describe the agreement that you had with Mr. Catanzaro? 6 7 My agreement with -- I was a lender, and I Α. 8 lent Ron money, and in doing so I secured real estate 9 and the water and sewer company, not thinking I was 10 ever going to have to run or own this. He defaulted. 11 We had a deed in lieu, and I ended up with the 12 properties he had with debt that I still had to go 13 take care of and a sewer company and a water company. 14 Okay. Have you met with the complainant Q. 15 subcommittee on three occasions in a mutual attempt 16 to reach a settlement on these issues? 17 Α. I have. 18 Ο. How would you describe those meetings? 19 Well, I thought it was -- you know, I got Α. 20 along really well with everybody, and I think I kept 21 everybody up to speed on what I was doing, what I was 22 spending. Towards the end I think when I met with 23 you and Sharon and Frank it just got down to -- you 2.4 know, you guys not wanting to pay for money that I've 25 already spent to keep this system -- to get this

1	system up to speed, and that's where it kind of broke
2	down.
3	Q. Would you be able to pay all the bills that
4	you've described if all qualified connection fees
5	were collected under the new tariff, that means
6	immediately, of those qualified lots?
7	A. Well, first of all, your connection fees
8	can't go
9	HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Smith.
10	MR. C. SMITH: I don't understand what is meant
11	by I think it's a confusing question. If he can
12	explain what is meant by "qualified lots."
13	MR. ZINI: Those that would qualify under the
14	new tariff.
15	HEARING OFFICER: Just a minute, Mr. Zini.
16	Did you complete your statement, Mr. Smith?
17	MR. C. SMITH: Yes. I would like to before
18	we have Mr. Bowden answer questions, let us clarify
19	what a qualified lot is in Mr. Zini's understanding.
20	HEARING OFFICER: Are you able to do that
21	Mr. Zini, please.
22	MR. ZINI: The qualified lots are those that are
23	ready to pay the connection fees because they have
24	satisfied the previous criteria under the new tariff.
25	They have done the plots or before the plot the new 77

1 tariff says that those lots must pay the connection 2 fees. Okay. 3 But then the question of the existing lots 4 raises its head again. What is the status of those 5 Why would they be exempt, if that's the proper word, from paying the connection fees if -- such as 6 7 the lots in the Summit at Ski Lake where I live. 8 Those lots have been platted long ago. 9 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Zini, we want to ask a 10 question at a time so the witness can answer. So 11 could we start with the first question that you'd 12 like to address to the witness. 13 Q. (BY MR. ZINI) Okay. Do you believe that 14 all lots should pay the same connection fees? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Ο. At what point do you believe that should 17 happen? 18 Α. When the sewer is connected to the house. 19 Okay. Now, there are two other fees 20 involved in the hookup fees. One is called the 21 hookup fee. What does that connote? A hookup fee as 22 opposed to a connection fee? 2.3 A hookup fee and inspection fee goes through Jim Banks. He's a state certified contract 2.4 25 labor. He will go out and inspect the sewer line 78

- Q. I'm a little confused. That means -- the connection fees being paid doesn't mean you're hooked up to the sewer system yet, only when you pay the hookup fee and then turn-on fee?
- A. Well, when it's hooked up to your house, Jim Banks goes down and inspects that, and when he inspects it, we collect that, and we pay Jim for doing his work.
- Q. So those combined fees come to \$5400 per connection?
 - A. Uh-huh, yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Q. Okay. I need to get back to this -- I'm curious that you -- we've gone to several meetings including on May the 10th when you and Mr. Mel Smith said the company was on the ragged edge financially and you didn't know where you were going to go from here. Isn't it true if you were able to infuse, say, ten lots with the connection fees under the new

tariff, that would put \$54,000 into the coffers of Mountain Sewer?

A. It doesn't work that way.

2.3

2.4

- Q. Can you explain how it works?
- A. Yes. I mean, the connection fees don't go to the monthly operation of the sewer company. I think you think it does, but it doesn't. Those connection fees are to go ahead and have additional monies to add on another sewer lagoon if you need it or add on additional sewer lines. That's where that money goes unless I'm mistaken, but that's where --when I sit on the sewer board at Wolf Creek, you can't commingle those funds. You can't take a connection fee and use it to pay the monthly overhead, and I think that's what you think, but I might not be right.
- Q. Well, I'm willing to learn about this. What about the standby fees that we talked about earlier today?
- A. I think it's only fair to have a standby fee. If you have ten lots out there in a subdivision and you have speculators buying these lots trying to make money down the road and you have one homeowner sitting there, is he going to pay the whole burden of that sewer company running a line all the way up the

1	block? I think it's fair to have a small amount of
2	that paid, and I think other sewer companies do too.
3	It's not just me. If you look, I'll bet you
4	90 percent of all the others have a standby fee.
5	It's only fair to the other homeowners in my opinion.
6	Q. You think the standby fee as it's written
7	in your request is in conflict with the new tariff?
8	A. I've got to see this new tariff. I don't
9	know where this new tariff comes from. I'm confused
L 0	about a new tariff.
L1	Q. Well, excuse me a minute. I'll read it to
L 2	you if that's acceptable.
L 3	A. All right. Where does it come from?
L 4	Q. Well, it's in your proposal for the rate
L 5	case. You didn't know that?
L 6	A. Well, maybe I
L 7	HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Zini, would you describe
L 8	for the record what you're referring to.
L 9	MR. ZINI: The standby fees.
2 0	HEARING OFFICER: No, I mean the document that
21	you're going to read from. Can you identify that by
22	title, date of preparation.
23	MR. BOWDEN: I'm really confused on this.
2 4	HEARING OFFICER: Or if it's already in
25	evidence, what its exhibit number is.
	I 81

1 If I could help, I think what MR. C. SMITH: 2 he's referring to is part of Exhibit No. 3, and it's 3 the proposed tariff that's attached as part of 4 Exhibit No. 3. If I can approach --5 HEARING OFFICER: Off the record. (off the record) 6 7 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Zini, would you please 8 state for the record what you're referring to and 9 then you can quote from it and ask your question. 10 Okay. I'm referring to Mountain MR. ZINI: 11 Sewer's new tariffs, Regulation D, Billing D1, fees to be paid, opening quote, "All owners of platted and 12 13 recorded lots for which the connection fee has been 14 paid shall pay the monthly standby fees set forth in the rates and fee schedule of this tariff." 15 16 There is no indication, our view is, on 17 Mountain Sewer's pro forma of May 10th, '12 of how 18 many of the 54 or 55 customers lots have paid or not 19 paid their connection fees. And it is impossible to 20 determine how many of the 55 lots should pay or not 21 pay the new standby fees based on their new tariff. 22 HEARING OFFICER: So is your question how many 23 have paid and what they've paid? 2.4 MR. ZINI: How many will come under the new 25 tariff because these standby fees are brand new.

1 They go today. 2 HEARING OFFICER: Would you present a question 3 about that, please, to the witness. 4 (BY MR. ZINI) Okay. Mr. Bowden, how many 5 of the 55 lots will have to pay the standby fees with the implementation in the new tariff? 6 7 I would estimate that all 55 would. 8 MR. C. SMITH: If I could interject, I think 9 there's probably -- and this is our fault for causing 10 the confusion. I think this is just a typo in the 11 fees -- in that schedule. It should say, I think, 12 "for which the connection fee has not been paid will 13 pay the standby fee. " That's the source of 14 confusion. The standby fee is something you pay 15 before you connect. Obviously, once you're connected 16 you pay the regular fee. That's just, I think, an 17 error we have, and I apologize that's what caused the 18 confusion. It's just an error that I would ask that 19 we could have it amended correct at this time in that 20 sentence. 21 HEARING OFFICER: So that we have the 22 clarification or the correction clear on the record, 23 you've added a word or --2.4 MR. C. SMITH: Yeah, "for which the connection 25 fee has not been paid" or just take out "for which 83

1	the connection fee has been paid." You can take that
2	all out. The point being is that you pay a standby
3	fee up until the time of connection; after you're
4	connected, you pay the regular fee because you're
5	getting service at that point.
6	HEARING OFFICER: So how do you want it to read
7	of those two?
8	MR. C. SMITH: I'd say, "All owners of platted
9	and recorded lots for which the connection fee has
10	not been paid shall pay the monthly standby fees
11	according to the rate and fees schedules of this
12	tariff." That's how I would like that to read. I
13	think that makes it clear.
14	HEARING OFFICER: Any objection to that
15	correction being made?
16	MR. ZINI: Not at all.
17	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
18	MR. ZINI: That's it, Mr. Bowden. Thank you.
19	HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Rinaldi.
20	MS. RINALDI: Just a couple of clarification
21	questions also, Mr. Bowden, Leslie Rinaldi for Celtic
22	Bank.
23	<u>CROSS-EXAMINATION</u>
2 4	BY MS. RINALDI:
25	Q. Exhibit 2, if you turn to Exhibit 2, that's
	84

1 the application for interim rate increase, page three 2 of that exhibit. Let me know when you're there. 3 There is \$24.40 unconnected consumer standby fee that 4 would be due today for folks that are on standby, 5 That fee would be due under the tariff unconnected. application we just were referring to, which is 6 7 Exhibit 3, Regulation D, Paragraph D1. In order to 8 pay standby fees you have to have platted and 9 recorded lots; correct? 10

- Correct. Α.
- So in the case of -- now, I'm going to refer specifically to Celtic Bank.
 - Α. Okay.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

- Realize it's a special case. There's some Ο. number of lots that have not been recorded, not They have been approved as PURD. platted. There's somewhere between 55 and 160. The unknown, unplatted, unrecorded lots currently would not be charged any kind of a fee until they were -- until such time as they were platted or recorded; is that correct?
 - Α. Correct.
- Turning to, let's see, the same -- still Exhibit 3, G4, Schedule G4, revenue requirements, and behind that in my book anyway is G5 revenue

1	requirement notes. So that's Exhibit 3, and it's
2	let me show you.
3	MR. C. SMITH: If it will be okay, I can help
4	Mr. Bowden find that.
5	MR. BOWDEN: It's kind of a mess.
6	MR. C. SMITH: Oh, yeah. This is a mess.
7	HEARING OFFICER: Off the record.
8	(off the record)
9	HEARING OFFICER: On the record.
L 0	Q. (BY MS. RINALDI) So we're at Exhibit 3,
L1	Schedule G4, referring back between Schedule G4,
L 2	Revenue Requirement, and the page directly behind it
L 3	which hopefully in your binder is also G5, Revenue
L 4	Requirement Notes. Do you have that?
L 5	A. Uh-huh.
L 6	Q. I have a quick question about note 15. It
L 7	talks about it says there's about the last
L 8	penultimate sentence in the notes, "Mountain Sewer
L 9	has entered into an agreement with Valley Enterprise
2 0	to credit the connection fees for VEI 66 lots against
21	the outstanding principal and interest due on the
22	note." Could you explain what that means?
23	A. I'm a little confused on that.
24	Q. Well, I am too. That's why I'm asking for
25	clarification. I don't mean to be flippant. I'm
	86

2	A. On what note?
3	Q. It says, "Mountain Sewer" do you see the
4	sentence beginning "Mountain Sewer has entered into
5	agreement"? That's in the note, sir, page G5.
6	A. Okay.
7	Q. Do you see the sentence for note 15, the
8	sentence beginning "Mountain Sewer." Do you see
9	that?
10	A. Uh-huh.
11	Q. If you don't know, that's fine. If you
12	don't have an answer, I'm just wondering if you do.
13	Your understanding of "Mountain Sewer has entered
14	into an agreement with Valley Enterprise to credit
15	the connection fee for VEI's 66 lots against the
16	outstanding principal and interest due on the note."
17	Do you understand what that means? Have
18	you had an opportunity to come to an understanding of
19	what that means?
20	A. Well, I think so in general. I think that
21	would be if this note wasn't paid, we could use that
22	for some of the connection fees that I'd be facing.
23	Q. So that would be a credit?
24	A. Right.
25	Q. To the extent we don't have a full
	87

sorry. Seriously, I'm confused.

1	understanding of exactly how that would play out in
2	the finances of the in the case in chief in the
3	general case for the rate increase, I would like to
4	put an objection on the record that until we get an
5	understanding of what exactly that means, we'll
6	object to any such credit being given. At such time
7	the finances are made more clear, we would remove our
8	objection at that time. I don't have any other
9	questions. Thank you, sir.
10	HEARING OFFICER: Objection is noted for the
11	record.
12	MR. ZINI: Judge, may I ask one more question of
13	Mr. Bowden?
14	HEARING OFFICER: That's fine, Mr. Zini.
14 15	HEARING OFFICER: That's fine, Mr. Zini. RECROSS-EXAMINATION
15	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
15 16	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ZINI:
15 16 17	<pre>RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ZINI: Q. Mr. Bowden, isn't there a thing called</pre>
15 16 17 18	<pre>RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ZINI: Q. Mr. Bowden, isn't there a thing called contribution in aid of construction where you were</pre>
15 16 17 18	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ZINI: Q. Mr. Bowden, isn't there a thing called contribution in aid of construction where you were able to take the connection fees and use them to
15 16 17 18 19 20	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ZINI: Q. Mr. Bowden, isn't there a thing called contribution in aid of construction where you were able to take the connection fees and use them to perform construction improvements in your company?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ZINI: Q. Mr. Bowden, isn't there a thing called contribution in aid of construction where you were able to take the connection fees and use them to perform construction improvements in your company? A. I'm not aware of that. I don't know where
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ZINI: Q. Mr. Bowden, isn't there a thing called contribution in aid of construction where you were able to take the connection fees and use them to perform construction improvements in your company? A. I'm not aware of that. I don't know where you're coming from.

1 you're going have to find out what that's about. 2 I guess I will. Α. 3 HEARING OFFICER: Could you help us, Mr. Zini 4 by --5 MR. C. SMITH: Yeah, which --6 HEARING OFFICER: -- providing more substantive 7 description of the document that you were just 8 referring to, sir? Title and --9 MR. ZINI: This is the G4 Revenue Requirement, 10 dated 4/2/2012, line 54. 11 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 12 MR. BOWDEN: Maybe I can refer to counsel and 13 see if they can --14 MR. C. SMITH: Yeah, I could -- I don't want to 15 be a witness, but I think I can explain what that is. 16 That is the application of connection fees that are 17 received by the company. I can't remember how we 18 came up with the number 381, but if I look at the 19 note, it's note 20, it says it's the product of the 20 connection fee of \$3,000 per connection times 127 21 connected customers. So it's the former connection 22 fee that was supposedly paid and hopefully has been 23 paid by everybody that's connected, and that's how 2.4 that amount is shown on the records of the company. 25 MR. ZINI: May I respond?

1	HEARING OFFICER: And the way you've treated
2	that, if I'm understanding what you have here, is
3	that that is part of your rate base calculation?
4	MR. C. SMITH: That's correct. And it's a
5	deduction from the rate base, so it lowers the amount
6	that we can get a return on.
7	MR. ZINI: The reason I raised the question is
8	because Mr. Bowden said that you can't use any of the
9	connection fees to help the company. That's what he
L 0	said.
L1	MR. BOWDEN: No, not to help the company, but to
L 2	do the monthly operations of the company. You can
L 3	use that money in other areas but not the monthly
L 4	MR. ZINI: Okay. That's what I wanted to
L 5	establish. That's all.
L 6	MR. C. SMITH: I think that hopefully that
L 7	clears it up for Mr. Zini, but that's that money
L 8	HEARING OFFICER: Any other cross-examination
L 9	for this witness? Redirect, Mr. Smith?
20	MR. C. SMITH: I don't have any redirect for
21	Mr. Bowden at this time.
22	HEARING OFFICER: I have a couple questions if I
23	may.
24	MR. C. SMITH: Sure.
25	HEARING OFFICER: Additional to those I've
	9.0

already asked.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

1

EXAMINATION

BY HEARING OFFICER:

Q. Mr. Bowden, I'm looking at Exhibit 6, and my point in asking you to look at Exhibit 6 is just so that you can assist me in understanding the composition of the customers that the company serves. I see a number of subdivisions referenced here.

Can you give me a sense for which actually exist and have occupants and are being actively served by Mountain Sewer as opposed to those that do not yet have active customers?

Just a general synopsis of that, we're up Α. in the valley, and there's various subdivisions and Some of those are on septic tanks, and some phases. are not. We've got the Summit. There's approximately 20-some lots up to the Summit that can still be developed. At the Chalets there's probably another maybe 45 lots up there that can be, you know, subdivided and sold at some point. I am in Phase 5 now, and we had eight lots there, and some of those lots have sold, but some of them that are sold were sold to like a retirement investment account, so they are going to hold those. Those connections won't come on very soon.

1	Q. But as to those, there are customers of
2	Mountain Sewer in those developments
3	A. Yes.
4	Q or subdivisions?
5	A. Yes. Like the Chalets, there's probably 15
6	customers at the Chalets with a lot more to be
7	developed and expanded upon, but the economy is a
8	little slow right now.
9	Q. But those would be part of the 127
L 0	customers
L1	A. Yes, some at the Chalets, some at the
L 2	Summit. There's been various phases through the
L 3	years where it's a different construction site and a
L 4	different phase.
L 5	Q. And I see the four Edgewater lots are
L 6	listed here that we referred to earlier. Those are
L 7	not occupied.
L 8	A. That's Celtic Bank, I think, on those.
L 9	They are the ones they have a fourplex there.
2 0	HEARING OFFICER: Are there customers in those?
21	Are they
22	MS. RINALDI: They are connected, yes.
23	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
24	MR. BOWDEN: They have their own lift station
25	there. That's pumped up and then it flows down by
	9.2

1	the lake and pumped back up the hill. There's a lot
2	of crazy stuff there that needs to be addressed on
3	how it's run now to where it needs to be run.
4	HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. That
5	concludes my questions. You're excused, Mr. Bowden,
6	unless you have any questions based on my
7	MR. C. SMITH: No further questions for
8	Mr. Bowden at this time.
9	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
L 0	Mr. Smith, anything further?
L1	MR. C. SMITH: I have nothing further. I do
L 2	have some brief closing remarks, but I know other
L 3	people may have witnesses they will call.
L 4	HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Schmid.
L 5	MS. SCHMID: Thank you. The Division would like
L 6	to call Mr. Mark Long as its witness.
L 7	HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Long, would you please
L 8	take your place on the witness stand. Before you sit
L 9	down, would you raise right hand.
2 0	(The witness is sworn.)
21	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, please be seated.
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MS. SCHMID:
24	Q. Good morning.
25	A. Good morning.
	93

1	Q. Could you please state your full name,
2	title, employer, and place of employment for the
3	record.
4	A. Yes. My full name is Mark Alan Long. I'm
5	employed by the Division of Public Utilities. What
6	else did you want?
7	Q. Business address.
8	A. 160 East 300 South, Salt Lake City, Utah.
9	Q. And position description.
L 0	A. I'm a utility analyst for the Division.
L1	Q. Thank you. Mr. Long, in connection with
L 2	your employment with the Division, have you worked on
L 3	the Mountain Sewer matters?
L 4	A. Yes.
L 5	Q. Specifically have you examined the request
L 6	for interim rate relief?
L 7	A. Yes, I have.
L 8	Q. Did you file a memorandum dated May 15,
L 9	2012 which contained the Division's recommendations
2 0	on this matter?
21	A. Yes.
22	MS. SCHMID: Your Honor, would you like me to
23	request that you take administrative notice of that
24	memorandum as it was filed or would you like me to
25	move for admission as an exhibit?
	94

1	HEARING OFFICER: Let's mark it as an exhibit.
2	Q. (BY MS. SCHMID) Do you have any changes or
3	corrections to that memorandum which we will mark as
4	DPU Exhibit No. 1?
5	A. No, not at this point. After hearing the
6	discussion, I believe that most of the additions or
7	corrections I was going to make have already been
8	discussed and resolved.
9	MS. SCHMID: With that, the Division would move
10	for the admission of DPU Exhibit 1 which would be the
11	memorandum dated 5/15/2012 containing the Division's
12	recommendation on the interim rate increase.
13	HEARING OFFICER: Any objections?
14	MR. C. SMITH: No objection.
15	HEARING OFFICER: It's received in evidence.
16	Q. (BY MS. SCHMID) Mr. Long, do you adopt that
17	memorandum as your testimony?
18	A. Yes, I do.
19	Q. Do you have a summary statement and other
20	comments that you would like to make this morning?
21	A. I think that memorandum stands on its own
22	in terms of the Division's recommendation.
23	MS. SCHMID: Okay. Mr. Long is now available
24	for cross-examination from the parties and from the
25	administrative law judge.
	9.5

1	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Any
2	cross-examination for Mr. Long?
3	MR. C. SMITH: I have one or two questions.
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. C. SMITH:
6	Q. So my understanding, Mr. Long, is it's the
7	Division's position that the interim rate increase
8	should be granted is that correct? as
9	requested.
L 0	A. That's correct.
L1	MR. C. SMITH: That's all I have.
L 2	HEARING OFFICER: Any other questions?
L 3	MR. ZINI: Yes, I have one.
L 4	CROSS-EXAMINATION
L 5	BY MR. ZINI:
L 6	Q. Mr. Long, why did the DPU not note the
L 7	presence of the special assessment expenses in this
L 8	request since they were specifically excluded by the
L 9	judge on May the 10th before you said that this was
20	satisfactory to your department?
21	A. I guess I don't completely understand. Why
22	did they not know?
23	Q. No. I'm sorry. Maybe the way I asked it.
24	It appears, the DPU you just testified that they
25	gave their whole-hearted support to this interim rate

1	of case; is that correct?
2	MS. SCHMID: Objection to the characterization
3	as whole-hearted.
4	HEARING OFFICER: Sustained.
5	Q. (BY MR. ZINI) Well, okay. But you give
6	your support and you say the report stands on its
7	own?
8	A. Yes. I recommended that the interim rates
9	be approved.
10	Q. Okay. During your investigation of the
11	interim rate case facts, why did you not object to
12	the inclusion of the expenses for the special
13	assessment since the judge had made it clear on
14	April the 10th May the 10th that those were not to
15	be included in the interim rate case?
16	A. Can you tell me what schedule you're
17	referring to so that I may
18	Q. What schedule?
19	A. What amounts or what items?
20	Q. I'm so confused by that myself.
21	HEARING OFFICER: I think it's an indication
22	he's not following your question, Mr. Zini, so
23	perhaps you could rephrase it.
24	Q. (BY MR. ZINI) Okay. Let me rephrase it.
25	On line 54 I'm sorry, not 54. On the most recent pro
	97

1	forma, 5/10/2012, pro forma income statement for
2	Mountain Sewer, lines 34, line 51, and line 65 refer
3	to the special assessment, and those are left in the
4	calculations even though they have been excluded by a
5	decision by the judge.
6	MS. SCHMID: Objection. I don't know if the
7	witness has that in front of him, that pro forma in
8	front of him.
9	MR. ZINI: I can give him a copy.
L 0	MS. SCHMID: And I would like to take a look at
L1	that as well, and I may have some other concerns, but
L 2	I need know where we are going.
L 3	HEARING OFFICER: We're off the record.
L 4	(off the record)
	(off the record) HEARING OFFICER: We're on the record.
L 5	
L 5 L 6	HEARING OFFICER: We're on the record.
L 5 L 6 L 7	HEARING OFFICER: We're on the record. Mr. Zini.
L 5 L 6 L 7	HEARING OFFICER: We're on the record. Mr. Zini. Q. (BY MR. ZINI) Mr. Long, the 4/2
L5 L6 L7 L8	HEARING OFFICER: We're on the record. Mr. Zini. Q. (BY MR. ZINI) Mr. Long, the 4/2 pro forma, is that part of this rate case?
L5 L6 L7 L8 L9	HEARING OFFICER: We're on the record. Mr. Zini. Q. (BY MR. ZINI) Mr. Long, the 4/2 pro forma, is that part of this rate case? A. Which one?
L5 L6 L7 L8 L9	HEARING OFFICER: We're on the record. Mr. Zini. Q. (BY MR. ZINI) Mr. Long, the 4/2 pro forma, is that part of this rate case? A. Which one? Q. The date of 4/2/10 '12.
L5 L6 L7 L8 L9 20	HEARING OFFICER: We're on the record. Mr. Zini. Q. (BY MR. ZINI) Mr. Long, the 4/2 pro forma, is that part of this rate case? A. Which one? Q. The date of 4/2/10 '12. A. Yes, I believe it is.
L4 L5 L6 L7 L8 L9 20 21 22	HEARING OFFICER: We're on the record. Mr. Zini. Q. (BY MR. ZINI) Mr. Long, the 4/2 pro forma, is that part of this rate case? A. Which one? Q. The date of 4/2/10 '12. A. Yes, I believe it is. MS. SCHMID: Objection. Could we have a little
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	HEARING OFFICER: We're on the record. Mr. Zini. Q. (BY MR. ZINI) Mr. Long, the 4/2 pro forma, is that part of this rate case? A. Which one? Q. The date of 4/2/10 '12. A. Yes, I believe it is. MS. SCHMID: Objection. Could we have a little bit more clarification as to exactly what 4/2 pro

1	HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Zini, are you looking
2	at
3	MR. ZINI: Here it is. It's the G8 pro forma
4	income statement of $4/2/2012$.
5	HEARING OFFICER: Are you looking at G4?
б	MR. ZINI: It says G8.
7	MS. SCHMID: Is that sheet eight of Exhibit G4
8	perhaps of Exhibit G perhaps?
9	MR. C. SMITH: It's Schedule G8 is attached to
10	Exhibit 3.
11	MS. SCHMID: Exhibit 3. Okay.
12	HEARING OFFICER: All right. I think we're all
13	on the same page, Mr. Zini. Please proceed with your
14	question.
15	Q. (BY MR. ZINI) Okay. On that sheet, if
16	you look at line 51, interest expense, special
17	assessment, \$25,642 in expenses for the year 2012.
18	Trying to see if I can find the other one now if they
19	are on a different line or pardon?
20	MRS. ZINI: They should be on the same line.
21	MR. ZINI: Forgive me. I didn't expect
22	Q. (BY MR. ZINI) Also under "other income,"
23	line 65, "other miscellaneous waste water revenues,
24	special assessment" are listed under income.
25	And what was the other one? 51.
	9 9

1 And line -- we already talked about 51. 2 51, 65, and 34. 10,897 for amortization of the rate 3 case expense. All those are on that pro forma, and 4 if that's part of the rate case, then I think it is 5 proper to talk about those things. 6 HEARING OFFICER: So do you have a question 7 about them for Mr. long? 8 (BY MR. ZINI) Well, the same question, 9 why would these be ignored in the DPU's review of the 10 interim rate case? 11 In my review and following through the Α. 12 spreadsheets that was submitted by Mountain Sewer, it 13 appeared to me that they were basing their rates off 14 of G4, not G8. G8 is a pro forma income statement 15 that, you know, they are trying to project into the 16 future. The actual rates that I could tell were 17 actually calculated on G4 of the same exhibit. So I 18 quess short answer is no, the Division didn't rely on 19 the pro forma income statement. 20 If this is part of the rate case, why 21 didn't you use that pro forma? 22 Α. Well, because it's not what the company or 2.3 Mountain Sewer had based their rates on. This is a 2.4 supplemental item in support of their general rate

increase request but not used to determine the rates.

100

1	Correct me if I'm wrong.
2	Q. Well, I think that needs to be determined.
3	If this pro forma is part of the rate case, which
4	apparently it is, it goes back to the 4/2/2012, and
5	it's already been said that this is part of the rate
6	case, then I think anything on this form should be
7	used in calculations.
8	MS. SCHMID: Objection. Is there a question
9	there?
10	MR. ZINI: All right.
11	Q. (BY MR. ZINI) Don't you think that
12	anything on this form should be used in calculations?
13	MS. SCHMID: Objection. Asked and answered.
14	Badgering the witness.
15	HEARING OFFICER: You can answer the question,
16	Mr. Long.
17	MR. ZINI: Thank you.
18	A. No, not necessarily everything that's
19	included in the request ties back directly to the
20	rates including, in my opinion, G8.
21	Q. Well, I am stunned that every form that
22	we
23	HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Zini, you'll have an
24	opportunity for argument, but right now it's
25	questions for the witness.
	1 0 1

1	MR. ZINI: Okay. I'm through with Mr. Long.
2	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
3	
	MS. RINALDI: Couple questions based on
4	HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Rinaldi.
5	<u>CROSS-EXAMINATION</u>
6	BY MS. RINALDI:
7	Q. Couple questions, Mr. Long, when you say
8	you looked and there was adequate prima facie
9	evidence, could you explain what you did look at as
10	far as making determination that there was adequate
11	prima facie evidence?
12	A. I looked at the application for the interim
13	rates as well as the application for the general rate
14	increase as well as the related exhibits.
15	Q. You were presented with certain forms and
16	information from Mountain Sewer; correct?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Did you do anything to check on the
19	veracity of those documents?
20	A. Not at this point. That would be done
21	prior to the case in chief I think it's referred to
22	as.
23	Q. So for your present recommendation, you
24	simply were basing your recommendation at face value;
25	correct?
	102

1	A. Correct.
2	MS. RINALDI: Thank you. No further questions.
3	MS. SCHMID: I have
4	MR. C. SMITH: I have a question or two
5	following up on that.
6	HEARING OFFICER: Let's have that and then we'll
7	have redirect.
8	MR. C. SMITH: Okay.
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION
L 0	BY MR. C. SMITH:
L1	Q. I take it that this is not the first time
L 2	that you've reviewed rates of a water and sewer
L 3	company a rate request of a water and sewer
L 4	company.
L 5	A. That's correct.
L 6	Q. And this is your job as I understand it to
L 7	make these types of reviews?
L 8	A. Correct.
L 9	Q. And anything about the application of
20	Mountain Sewer that didn't look standard, correct
21	I don't know what word you want to use, but, you
22	know, the costs of about seventy, seventy-five
23	thousand a year to run the company. Is that kind of
24	typical for a small company like this?
25	A. Based on my experience I didn't see any of

1	the costs or the revenues being out of place. In
2	fact, this is probably too typical of most of the
3	companies we review, that they are having financial
4	issues and need a rate increase.
5	MR. C. SMITH: Okay. That's all I have.
6	HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Schmid.
7	MS. SCHMID: Thank you.
8	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MS. SCHMID:
L 0	Q. Mr. Long, in preparing the Division's
L1	recommendations, did you look at the exhibits that
L 2	were filed by the company in conjunction with its
L 3	request for a general rate increase?
L 4	A. Yes.
L 5	Q. Did you see there that there was a
L 6	requested \$24,000 annual operating fee I can't
L 7	remember the exact words.
L 8	MR. C. SMITH: Management fee.
L 9	Q. Management fee?
20	A. Yes, I did.
21	Q. Do you recall if there had been expenses
22	previously incurred for management fees by the
23	company?
24	A. Yes. And that was one of the points that I
25	analyzed, and if you were to I don't know if I can

see it up here that well, but if you refer to

Exhibit G4 it shows that previously -- let's see if I

can find it here -- contract services were \$43,000.

Let me refer to my notes here. I can read that

better.

Basically Mountain Sewer is replacing the previous management fees of \$43,324 with wages for office employees of 6,600; management fees for Mr. Bowden of 24,000; and other contractual services for 2,300 as they explained earlier.

If you look at this, this actually represents a \$10,424 loss, or 24 percent reduction in the management fees.

- Q. Thank you. So in connection with your examination, do you realize that this is for an interim rate increase and the standard is a prima facie standard?
 - A. Yes.

2.3

2.4

- Q. What happens during the next phase before a general rate increase recommendation is made?
- A. A thorough and complete audit will be done on all the issues that we discuss today. Amounts will be verified. The issues will be explored in depth, and the Division will make the recommendation based on that.

1	Q. And just to close then, it is the
2	Division's recommendation that the interim rate
3	increase be adopted as it is justified under the
4	applicable standards?
5	A. Yes.
6	MS. SCHMID: Thank you very much.
7	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Long. You're
8	excused.
9	Anything else from the Division,
10	Ms. Schmid?
11	MS. SCHMID: Nothing more.
12	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
13	Mr. Zini?
14	MR. ZINI: Yes, sir.
15	HEARING OFFICER: It would now be your
16	opportunity to present evidence if you would like to.
17	MR. ZINI: Our evidence consists of a narrative
18	and analyzation and a proposal with two spreadsheets
19	at two different rates and some arguments, many of
20	which we've discussed today already, so maybe we can
21	get through these pretty quickly. Do you want me
22	though start now?
23	HEARING OFFICER: Before you do that, what
24	did you intend to take the witness stand so that
25	counsel could cross-examine you on the substance of
	106

1 this material? 2 MR. ZINI: My intention was to put out our 3 position as complainants. I didn't intend to testify 4 about anything. 5 MR. C. SMITH: If he's going to provide a witness or evidence, we'd like to have the 6 7 opportunity to cross-examine. 8 Well, I saw the attorneys get up and 9 they didn't have to be a witness and they put forth 10 Now, is it true in this state if their information. 11 you are called as a witness and an attorney you have 12 to leave the case? 13 HEARING OFFICER: No. 14 MR. ZINI: Oh, I thought it was. 15 HEARING OFFICER: Are you an attorney? 16 MR. ZINI: No. I have no legal experience at 17 all. 18 HEARING OFFICER: Well, you're doing very well, 19 Mr. Zini, we appreciate that. The distinction is 20 that if you're making representations of fact as 21 opposed to legal argument, then it's the right of the 22 other parties to be able to examine you on those 23 representations. What I think we should do it's, 2.4 let's take our noon recess, and we'll stay off the 25 record here for a couple minutes together and sort of

discuss how we'll proceed this afternoon. We'll be off the record.

2.3

2.4

(off the record)

HEARING OFFICER: So it's now 1:35. We concluded our lunch recess, and we're coming back to the documents that Mr. Zini distributed which we'll identify as Zini Exhibit 1.

And, Mr. Zini, as we were discussing before the lunch break, you have the opportunity to present this material in some different forms, one of those would be as a witness and to be subject to cross-examination on this material. Another would be to submit it as a customer of the company and as a customer statement to the Commissioners, and you may do that either sworn or unsworn as you choose. And so among those options, having considered this over the lunch break, what would you like us to do?

MR. ZINI: I came to the same conclusion that you did, that I think we've had an opportunity to discuss the issues, the main issues that were concerning to the people that I'm here to represent and ourselves, and I think we'll just submit it as a customer unsworn.

HEARING OFFICER: And we'll receive Exhibit 1 with that understanding and on those terms and

1	appreciate your participation in the proceeding and
2	the presentation that this information for the
3	Commissioners' consideration on the application for
4	interim rate increase. Having
5	MS. SCHMID: Pardon me. Could I ask some
6	clarifying questions about who Mr. Zini is
7	representing? I don't know if this would be the
8	appropriate time.
9	HEARING OFFICER: That's fine. That's the next
10	subject for us to take up. That would be a fine way
11	for that information to come onto the record,
12	Ms. Schmid, so please go forward.
13	MS. SCHMID: Would it be appropriate for
14	Mr. Zini to be sworn just for the purpose of these
15	questions or is that unnecessary?
16	HEARING OFFICER: I think it's adequate for him
17	to just express to us his role here as he perceives
18	it.
19	MS. SCHMID: Thank you.
20	Mr. Zini, I have just a few questions on
21	representation. As you know, a non-attorney cannot
22	represent other parties before the Commission unless
23	he or she is doing it as an officer or employee of
2 4	parties to the proceedings or for the principals in
25	the proceeding. So I take it that you are not here
	109

1 as a lawyer; is that correct? 2 MR. ZINI: That's correct. 3 MS. SCHMID: With the discussion or with the 4 information that I just set forth, who are you 5 representing? Are you just representing yourself and 6 Mrs. Zini today? 7 MR. ZINI: Did you want me to go in to a 8 detailed explanation? 9 MS. SCHMID: Yeah. 10 That's okay then. Yes, when the -- I MR. ZINI: 11 may have to go back a little bit in time how this 12 came about. Some residents in the Summit at Ski Lake 13 where we live, a gated community, discovered some 14 nocturnal sewer dumpings in our sewer heads by the 15 previous owner and whoever he hired to do that. That 16 generated the head of our board of -- what do I want 17 to say? 18 MRS. ZINI: Homeowners. 19 MR. ZINI: -- homeowners to call a meeting at 20 her house. Sharon and I attended that meeting. 21 discussion -- and we met at that meeting several 22 people -- it turned out to be pretty representative 2.3 of the Chalets, of the Lake Side townhouses, of 2.4 every -- there was at least one or two -- there was 25 about 14 or 15 people there, and we talked about how 110

we were going to respond to this to put an end to this thing.

2.4

Well, during the discussion we met some people that talked about some billing issues, for instance, and because of my background and Sharon's background we recognize there are certain rules that govern regulated utilities about consistency and fairness and, you know, not being unfair to another party, so a couple of the couples like over in the Chalet said that they had never received, for instance, a sewer or water bill and they had been in the house for 16 months.

Well, that set off this bell that that's not allowed in a regulated environment. So we -that's how we stayed involved because we have almost nothing to contribute about the physical working of a sewer or water company. So then the committee -because of the nature of the people that live where we live, about less than half are there year around. It's mostly people that live in another state -- that it narrowed down to just a few people if we were going to pursue this. Those people had to go forward and do things that would result in -- first, we went to the county, Weber County, because we found out after a long time that this was a bifurcated

1 oversight responsibility between Weber County and the 2 PSC, PSC for rates and tariffs, Weber County for the 3 operation of the sewer company and so forth. 4 So it took us I while. So we tried to the 5 go to the county first. I think Mr. Mel Smith 6 alluded to that today that we went to a meeting -- we 7 were at the same meeting trying to get some 8 resolution to this thing. Well, the county didn't 9 seem to be interested at all in resolving, and in the 10 interim there was a flooding situation that flooded 11 out several of the townhomes in Lake Side Village. 12 MS. SCHMID: I think I can probably ask my 13 question more concretely than I did. Do you have 14 written authorization from the other complainants to 15 represent them? 16 MR. ZINI: No, I don't. 17 MS. SCHMID: Okay. That's good. That's my only 18 question. Thank you. 19 HEARING OFFICER: Essentially, Mr. Zini, my 20 understanding is you are in effect stating to the 21 commission that you support the conclusions or the 22 representations in the Complaint you filed. 2.3 MR. ZINI: Uh-huh. 2.4 HEARING OFFICER: And in the document that 25 you're presenting today and represent with that that 112

1	there are other residents, substantial numbers of
2	them, who feel similarly to you.
3	MR. ZINI: Uh-huh. That's correct.
4	HEARING OFFICER: And you're representing that
5	to us as a customer of Mountain Sewer?
6	MR. ZINI: We tried to get on major issues even
7	doing this action a consensus of the ad hoc committee
8	of the 14 or 15 people, and we have reached that with
9	people but not in writing or anything like that.
L 0	HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. I
L1	appreciate that information. It's helpful.
L 2	And now I'd like to turn back to
L 3	Schedule G4 in Exhibit 3, and we may also refer to
L 4	G8 in Exhibit 3, and I'm going to direct a few
L 5	questions to Mr. Bowden and Mr. Long. And I'm going
L 6	to invite either of you or both of you to respond to
L 7	my questions. I remind you you're still under oath,
L 8	but you can respond from your seats at counsel table.
L 9	If we look at G4 under the Column F test
20	year, I believe this is an effort to project revenue
21	and expenses for the remainder of 2012. Am I correct
22	about that?
23	MR. BOWDEN: Yes.
24	HEARING OFFICER: And the projected revenues
25	and this would be under current rates are roughly

1	\$33,000; is that correct, Mr. Bowden?							
2	MR. BOWDEN: Yes.							
3	HEARING OFFICER: And then we have a number of							
4	expense entries, and we went over some of them in							
5	detail this morning. When we sum those, we've got							
6	total operating expense for this period of \$71,000.							
7	And so under the math here, an operating income							
8	balance of negative 37,000; is that correct,							
9	Mr. Bowden?							
10	MR. BOWDEN: Correct.							
11	HEARING OFFICER: And then we add to that							
12	depreciation expense and tax expense and that brings							
13	us to a net operating income of negative \$68,000. Is							
14	that also correct?							
15	MR. BOWDEN: Correct.							
16	HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Long, are you agreeing							
17	with this statements?							
18	MR. LONG: Yes, I am.							
19	HEARING OFFICER: And then we have a statement							
20	that summarizes the accumulated depreciation which is							
21	\$727,000, so I take that to mean that of the roughly							
22	million dollars a plant service, about 75 percent of							
23	it's been depreciation already.							
24	MR. BOWDEN: Yes.							
25	HEARING OFFICER: And then we have this counting							
	114							

1 category, contributions in aid of construction, and 2 in rate making we subtract that from rate base also. 3 Do you agree with that, Mr. Bowden? 4 MR. BOWDEN: Yes. 5 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Long? MR. LONG: Yes, if it's included in the original 6 7 property plant and equipment. 8 HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, thank you for that 9 clarification. So that leaves us with a rate of 10 return calculation on a very small base relatively I 11 would -- it's my characterization, but it's -- the 12 return requirement is \$926. Am I reading --13 MR. LONG: Yes. 14 HEARING OFFICER: -- accurate there? And then 15 help me with how the capital reserves then fits into 16 this story, and I'll be grateful. Would either one 17 of you like to do that, Mr. Long? Can you help me 18 with that. 19 Sure. The capital reserves is MR. LONG: 20 basically an amount equal to the depreciation 21 expense, and in this case if you look at Column G, 22 they didn't include the depreciation expense up 23 above, but they included it below the line on around 2.4 line 60. 25 HEARING OFFICER: Right. So it relates directly

1	to line 40. Am I right there?										
2	MR. LONG: Yeah, the amount is generally about										
3	that same amount. They are based on the same thing.										
4	HEARING OFFICER: Okay.										
5	MR. LONG: I will point out one area of concern,										
6	and that's deducting the land and land rights and										
7	water rights before it gets to the rate base. I'll										
8	take a further look at that, but I'm not sure that										
9	isn't part of the investment. It's not depreciated,										
10	so maybe that's what they are trying to accomplish										
11	here.										
12	HEARING OFFICER: But that would be one of the										
13	things you would look at during the audit process?										
14	MR. LONG: Right.										
15	HEARING OFFICER: So what the company has										
16	presented is a set of rates that address the under										
17	recovery of operating expense and other expenses that										
18	are reflected here in this test year column. Do I										
19	have that right?										
20	MR. LONG: I'm not sure what you mean by "under										
21	recovery."										
22	HEARING OFFICER: I mean the deficit in the										
23	relationship between income and expense.										
24	MR. LONG: Yeah, they are not going back in										
25	time, but yeah, you're right.										
	116										

1	HEARING OFFICER: And so if we look at then the						
2	Column G, is this an effort to now reflect the new						
3	rates that would apply in 2012 if the Commission						
4	approves on an interim basis the rate request today						
5	if I have that right, Mr. Bowden?						
6	MR. BOWDEN: Yes.						
7	HEARING OFFICER: So the flat rate revenues						
8	would be 87,000 roughly, and then there would be						
9	15,000 of standby revenues, and is that 15,810, is						
10	that basically the standby customers that we talked						
11	about, the 55						
12	MR. BOWDEN: Yes.						
13	HEARING OFFICER: times the standby rate.						
14	MR. BOWDEN: Correct.						
15	HEARING OFFICER: Times eight months worth of						
16	that revenue; is that correct?						
17	MR. BOWDEN: That's correct. Well, 12 months.						
18	HEARING OFFICER: I'm sorry. This is						
19	annualized.						
20	MR. M. SMITH: Right.						
21	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. And I know we went						
22	through this this morning, but just to be clear for						
23	the record, why don't we see represented in these						
24	revenues up in the first six lines revenue from						
25	connection fees?						
	117						

1	MR. LONG: From the Division's standpoint,
2	connection fees are set to recover the costs of
3	connecting, in this case, the sewer company to the
4	property line, and they are not considered as
5	revenues. They are to reimburse the expenses to make
6	that connection whether it was to the original owner
7	or the sewer company or whoever incurred the expense
8	of making the connection.
9	MR. ZINI: Could I ask a question?
L 0	HEARING OFFICER: When I'm done, Mr. Zini.
L1	MR. ZINI: Okay. Go ahead.
L 2	HEARING OFFICER: Do you agree with that,
L 3	Mr. Bowden? What type of activity is involved in the
L 4	connection? What types of costs are we addressing?
L 5	MR. BOWDEN: Well, we kind of need a sinking
L 6	fund to kind of put together so when we have
L 7	additional right now with the county we're at
L 8	maximum. As other things come on and stuff, we're
L 9	going to need additional funds to maintain the system
20	but also to go ahead and repair the overflow
21	reservoir that's up on top. It's out of compliance
22	and to maybe build another sewer holding pond.
23	HEARING OFFICER: So does that mean that the
24	connection fees are being capitalized? Am I
25	incorrect in using that term?
	118

1	MR. BOWDEN: Call it capitalized? I would think
2	so.
3	HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Long, do you have
4	MR. LONG: They are generally capitalized and
5	then also considered contribution and aid, so they
6	add to the infrastructure of the utility, but they
7	are taken out before the rate base is calculated.
8	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. And I'm close to
9	the end, but the hookup fees and what's the other
10	term?
11	MR. ZINI: Turn-on.
12	HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, the turn-on fees, why
13	wouldn't we see those as revenue in those first six
14	lines? What's the rationale there?
15	MR. BOWDEN: Well, it's kind of tied to the lot
16	and basically when a customer is ready to have his
17	sewer line inspected and hooked up, our outside
18	engineer we collect \$300, but he charges us \$300
19	to go out and make sure the connection in the street
20	and into the house is to code.
21	HEARING OFFICER: So is the expense of that
22	engineer reflected anywhere in your operating
23	expenses?
24	MR. BOWDEN: No. It's comes in, passes through.
25	It comes in and goes right back to him.
	119

1	HEARING OFFICER: What about the hookup fee?
2	MR. BOWDEN: The hookup fee, once it's ready and
3	he stuff, he'll go down and do the final inspection
4	on it, and that's a hundred dollars.
5	HEARING OFFICER: And is that expense reflected
6	anywhere in your operating expense projection?
7	MR. BOWDEN: No. It comes in and goes right
8	back out to Mr. Banks.
9	HEARING OFFICER: It's the same person
L 0	performing both of those functions?
L1	MR. BOWDEN: Correct.
L 2	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
L 3	Now, Mr. Zini, any questions based on mine?
L 4	MR. ZINI: Yeah, just a clarification because
L 5	when we talked to Mr. Bowden he said none of these
L 6	hookup or connection fees were used to run the
L 7	company. I think he meant on a day-to-day basis, but
L 8	they can be used, it sounds like, for construction
L 9	projects and the repair projects he wants to do like
20	the list of things that he gave to all of us at one
21	point that things needed to be done. Am I correct in
22	that?
23	MR. BOWDEN: Well, there is and there isn't
24	but I mean, there is an expense too to a lot of
25	these connection fees, the way I look at them in the

1 next few years, the few we have will barely be enough 2 to bring the sewer lagoons back into compliance with 3 the clay lining and the other massive winter storage 4 tank we have up on top. So I'm looking at those 5 funds to go ahead and improve the system, and there 6 maybe -- and I'm not sure technically if we could use 7 a lot of plumbing and stuff. I'm sure we can to go 8 from one lagoon to another. But my thoughts would be 9 to use the connection fees and stuff to enhance and 10 bring up more connections to the system. 11 My question is -- I think he tried to MR. ZINI: 12 answer the question, but I still don't detect an 13 answer there. Can they use the connection fees for 14 construction and other repairs to the unit -- to the 15 sewer company. 16 MR. BOWDEN: Not repairs. 17 MR. ZINI: Well, updates or upgrades or 18 whatever, the screen that you talked about, the lift 19 pumps, the backflow valves, whether that's repair or 20 maintenance, can those fees be used for that? 21 MR. BOWDEN: No. 22 MR. ZINI: Can you cite me the rule that says 2.3 that. 2.4 MR. BOWDEN: I can have Jim Banks get the state 25 code on that and have it to our next meeting or give

1	it to you next week. There's a protocol the state											
2	has and you have to follow that and different											
3	reserves you have to have and have to be maintained											
4	to be used in those certain areas. If Mr. Zini would											
5	like that, I can get ahold of Mr. Banks, and he's a											
6	qualified engineer with the state. He can go ahead											
7	and I can give you a copy of the protocol that a											
8	sewer company is supposed to follow.											
9	MR. ZINI: That would be fine. Any document											
L 0	that verifies what Mr. Bowden said that would be											
L1	satisfactory.											
L 2	HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Zini, you can use that in											
L 3	the consideration and examination of the rates that											
L 4	are proposed											
L 5	MR. ZINI: Uh-huh.											
L 6	HEARING OFFICER: for the permanent rate											
L 7	increase.											
L 8	MR. ZINI: Yeah, that's true.											
L 9	MR. LONG: I would like to address this if I											
2 0	could.											
21	HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Long.											
22	MR. LONG: I almost think that Mr. Bowden is											
23	maybe confusing a little bit his work that he did for											
24	Wolf Creek, which is probably a municipality at the											
25	time, versus a regulated utility. In a regulated											
	122											

1 utility, the expenses -- or the connection fees are 2 used to cover the expenses of the connection and 3 whoever expended the money for that connection is 4 entitled to receive the connection fees. 5 Mr. Bowden, if he's the one that made the connection fees or put the infrastructure in to do 6 7 the connection, the money really could go in his 8 pocket. He's choosing to use this to upgrade the 9 system if that makes --10 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Long, so he could record 11 it as revenue and use it for operating expense or 12 does it have to be recorded as plant in service or 13 something? 14 MR. LONG: It wouldn't be recorded as revenue. 15 It would be plant in service and it would also be a 16 contribution in aid of construction, but it's 17 basically a recovery of costs similar to the way the 18 hookup fee and stuff that he described earlier. 19 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. 20 MR. LONG: And municipality I understand is 21 quite a bit different, but this is generally how it's 22 done in a regulated utility. 2.3 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for that 2.4 clarification. Any other questions based on the 25 information that? 123

1	MR. ZINI: One more.
2	HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Zini.
3	MR. ZINI: To Mr. Long. Is there some rules or
4	statutes you can quote that would support that
5	position that you just took?
6	MR. LONG: I'd have to look into that, but I
7	could get back with you.
8	MR. ZINI: Could you? That would be fine.
9	Thank you.
L 0	HEARING OFFICER: Is there any evidentiary
L1	matters that we want to address before we go to
L 2	statements of counsel as we conclude the hearing.
L 3	MR. C. SMITH: We supplemented our filing, and I
L 4	think we covered this somewhat, but supplemented our
L 5	filing in regard to being able to use some of those
L 6	capital improvement funds to cover some of the
L 7	interest costs that we have. Do you need any other
L 8	information on that or have you got enough to address
L 9	that?
20	HEARING OFFICER: Off the record.
21	(off the record)
22	HEARING OFFICER: Back on the record.
23	Ms. Schmid, you mentioned that you or your
24	witness had a statement you wanted to make. Why
25	don't we do that now, and then I have identified my
	124

questions, so then we'll take up my question.

2.4

MS. SCHMID: Could Mr. Long please make a statement concerning the supplemental motion or, however, it is properly termed, the use of the capital reserve?

HEARING OFFICER: Sure. Mr. Long.

MR. LONG: In reviewing the expenditures sought to be covered through the capital reserve account, they are all expenditures that would have been covered anyway for the original purpose of the capital reserve account. The Division recommends that Mountain Sewer's use of the capital reserve funds to pay the line of credit for transactions be approved since the transactions clearly fall under the umbrella of the proper use of capital reserve funds.

They do, however, want to remind Mountain

Sewer that they need to follow the criteria and

restrictions that are put in place, and I believe

that's in their tariff, No. 2 No. 19, that requires

them to have an accounting of the money, and it

addresses transparency and the correct use of it and

putting it into escrow account and various items that

are included in the capital reserve account.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. So if we could

1 look at G8 for a moment and line 51, interest 2 expense, and if I understand the note associated with 3 this line, this relates to both rate case expense and 4 interest expense? Am I right about that? 5 ultimate question is how does this relate to the supplemental application? Mr. Long, feel free to 6 7 address this if you would like to. 8 MR. LONG: Okay. I'm trying to find the 9 supplemental --10 MR. M. SMITH: Mr. Clark, I may shed some light 11 on that if I could. 12 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Smith. 13 MR. M. SMITH: This has been obviously a 14 process. We have lots of tables, and I'm not sure 15 Mr. Bowden has all the nuances on all of those. Ryan 16 prepared this, and he and I were conferring. 17 at one time expected the rest of the improvements 18 would be made which would have taken the loan from 19 125 up to 225,000. So this was taken into 20 consideration, amortization of a loan in the actual 21 rate case, and it was going to be amortized over a 22 five-year period. 2.3 And he also then tried to capitalize the 2.4 expense for attorney fees which were estimated couple 25 two or three months ago, so that's where that figure

1 was, but since Bank of Utah who holds the note won't 2 give a long-term loan, they won't do that -- after 3 they reviewed -- so in other words, this is a work in 4 progress. After they had reviewed the financials and 5 gone through their committees, they said we won't 6 give a loan to Mountain Sewer. 7 So now all Mountain Sewer has is a 8 short-term loan of 125, not a five-year amortized 9 loan for 225. So, you know, there will be in the 10 final rate case -- we'll have as to update this 11 obviously. So there's really not a close connection 12 between that figure and the interim rate that we're 13 now seeking. 14 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I was having 15 difficulty reconciling the level of this amount with 16 the line of credit and the carrying costs on that 17 line, and so I appreciate -- so these numbers really 18 aren't relevant to --19 MR. M. SMITH: Today. 20 HEARING OFFICER: -- to the supplement 21 application --22 MR. M. SMITH: No. 2.3 HEARING OFFICER: -- and the interest expense 2.4 that we discussed this morning on that line of credit 25 in the order of \$710 a month or something like that.

1 MR. M. SMITH: Correct. 2 If I could clarify, the Division MR. LONG: 3 would like to add that although the interest expense 4 isn't the entire amount of the 25,000 in this case, 5 it's still going to be considerable and the capital reserve account should still be allowed to be used to 6 7 pay for those amounts. 8 HEARING OFFICER: And for that reason the 9 Division supports the supplemental application 10 request. 11 MR. LONG: Yes. 12 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. So we come now to 13 a closing statements, and Mr. Smith, would you like 14 to begin please. 15 MR. C. SMITH: Yes. 16 HEARING OFFICER: I'll give you an opportunity 17 to make a brief response after the others have made 18 their statements as well. 19 MR. C. SMITH: I'd like to kind of briefly kind 20 of recap a little bit of what we've done today. 21 think this has been very helpful I think for 22 everybody to better understand the numbers. 2.3 particularly the homeowners that are here, customers 2.4 that are here, and ratepayers hopefully have a little 25 better understanding of how we came up to this.

I think everybody owes a big thank you to Mr. Ray Bowden for stepping up and taking control of this company and kind of saving it from -- I think it was on the edge of having some really serious problems both financially and physically and operationally, and he stepped up and obviously he's a person that has some very unique expertise in this area that not very many people in this world have that would come in and be able to, first of all, have the financial ability but also just the expertise of how to manage and handle these kind of situations.

2.3

2.4

And I think this has really benefited everybody here. I think we could be having a very different proceeding today if he hadn't been here of why the company had gone broke and wasn't operating and those sorts of things.

That being said, for him to be able to continue this company and be able to keep it out of financial ruin, we need to have an increase in the rates and we need to have that now as an interim rate, not, you know, a year from now or two years from now.

We've expended all the money we would borrow. We've got all the -- he's worked for free for a considerable amount of time, and there just

isn't anything left as far as being able -- it's just impossible to take the 20-something thousand dollar rates from 1985 and be able to run this company in 2012.

2.4

You know, there's been some questions about some things. For example, one of the things on the spreadsheet that Mr. Zini provided he questions the special assessment and the things it's going to do. That's not before us today, so that doesn't need to be considered as part of this. What we do need to and what's before the Commission today is the standard under Utah Code Annotated 54-7-12-4A, which is whether a prima facie showing has been made that an interim rate increase is justified.

I submit there's no question on that. We certainly provided the information, the numbers and the cost to do things based on our six or eight months -- about eight months of experience of running the system of what we need. The Commission has agreed with us on that.

I know this is -- like I said, I understand as a customer this is a large increase in the rates. There's no question about it, but it's also an increase that's about 20 years past due, and we're trying to take care of things that happened 20 years

ago. We need to because if we don't the system will not operate.

So we made that prima facie showing and would just ask that the Commission approve the interim rate increase and allow us to continue to operate this business in a way that serves both the public and the ratepayers. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Schmid.

MR. C. SMITH: If I could -- and also we need to also be able to -- appreciate on that, but we also need to be able to make those interest payments as well in our supplemental request.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.

Ms. Schmid.

2.3

2.4

MS. SCHMID: Thank you. The Division believes that the prima facie showing that interim rate increase is justified has been made. As noted, an interim rate proceeding is not to be a full rate case but is a limited proceeding to determine if financial harm will result if no increase is granted. It has been demonstrated today and is evidenced by the testimony of the Division and in the comments made in its memorandum accepted as DPU Exhibit 1 that there will be financial harm to the company if the interim rate increase is not granted.

1 Similarly the Division recommends that the 2 company be allowed to use funds that would be in the 3 capital reserve account for the expenses as outlined 4 by Division witness Mr. Long. With that the Division 5 concludes. Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Schmid. 6 HEARING OFFICER: 7 Mr. Zini. First of all, I want to thank you 8 MR. ZINI: 9 Judge for your patience with my stumbling and 10 bumbling in here not having the legal training, and 11 everybody else who I put to probably some ups and 12 downs. It's been instructive for me, and I think 13 we've achieved bringing to light some issues that 14 hopefully will be discussed in the future such as the 15 existing lot issue, collection for existing lots that 16 to our view does not seem to be addressed in the new 17 tariffs. It appears there's supposed to be a change 18 to the standby fee tariff that they've written. Did 19 I understand that correctly? Change of wording? 20 HEARING OFFICER: Yes. 21 MS. SCHMID: Yes. 22 MR. C. SMITH: Yes. 2.3 The other things we've learned is MR. ZINI: 2.4 understanding on the role some of these documents 25 made that float around and get interchanged sometimes

1 because that's been a real surprise for us. Wе 2 thought those documents were legitimate and could be 3 used for our purposes after they came out. So 4 thanks, again, Judge, for your patience. 5 HEARING OFFICER: Well, thank you, Mr. Zini. Ι think we're all admirers of your courage but also 6 7 your ability to represent your points of view here 8 today. We thank you for your participation, really. 9 Ms. Rinaldi, did you have anything in 10 closing? 11 MS. RINALDI: I think we stated our objections 12 on the record. That's fine. 13 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 14 Mr. Smith, anything based on the statements 15 that have been made? 16 MR. C. SMITH: No, other than to thank you and 17 the other participants for your patience today. 18 HEARING OFFICER: I propose now to recess for an 19 indeterminate period. I will be consulting with the 20 Commissioners, and I'll come back and report the 21 results of that and the direction that I received 22 from them, and I expect that it won't be before 2:30. 23 So if you could all plan to be here by 2:30, I'll be 2.4 here again to bring us back on the record as soon 25 thereafter as I can be. We'll be in recess. 133

1 MR. C. SMITH: Thank you. 2 MS. SCHMID: Thank you.

2.3

2.4

(off the record)

HEARING OFFICER: On the record, please. I've conferred with Commissioners and have been authorized to issue a ruling orally today which I will memorialize in a written order that will be issued in the next few days or weeks.

The application for interim rate increase is granted and the supplemental application is also granted. So the rates noted in the application are approved on an interim basis subject to an order of refund that is dependent on the decision on final rates that will be issued later in the year after we have concluded the evidentiary process and hearings that are outlined in the Commission's order dated May 14, 2012.

I want to emphasize that there are a number of issues in this case which were not addressed at all today that will be addressed. Among those are the audit findings of the Division which are not yet available and which will not be available for several months, but after that extensive audit -- and parties have an opportunity to examine it and file their own testimony -- we will then have hearings on the

application for final rates.

2.3

2.4

And in connection with those hearings the Commission expects to examine not only the supporting documentation for the expenses and revenues that were identified on the record today as projections but also the details regarding the set of transactions that resulted in the change in ownership and the various benefits and burdens that were conferred under those transactions.

In summary there remains much to be considered in this case, and I just am emphasizing for all present that as the statute indicates, we've only examined -- we've properly only examined a subset of the issues today and only in a prima facie way. But the finding of the Commission is that given that reduced standard of proof that is presented in the statute, the applicants have satisfied that standard today, and the interim rates requested will go into effect. Any questions on my statement?

MR. C. SMITH: No, none from the Applicant.

HEARING OFFICER: Other parties?

MS. SCHMID: No.

HEARING OFFICER: I want to thank you all for your participation and helpfulness to the Commission and we are adjourned.

1	(Whereupon the taking of this hearing was
2	concluded at 2:51 p.m.)
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	126
	136

\sim	F.	D	т	т	ㅁ	Т	\sim	7\	т	┖	
١.	P.				Г.			$\overline{}$	- 1	P.	

STATE	OF	UTAH	
COUNTY	O F	HATU	

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that the foregoing hearing was taken before me, Letitia L. Meredith, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah and State of California.

That the hearing was reported by me in Stenotype, and thereafter transcribed by computer under my supervision, and that a full, true, and correct transcription is set forth in the foregoing pages.

I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise associated with any of the parties to said cause of action, and that I am not interested in the event thereof.

WITNESS MY HAND and official seal at Spanish Fork, Utah, this ___ day of _____ 2012.

Letitia L. Meredith, CSR/RPR